## Collection Documents sur l'art

Available in french

Nicolas Bourriaud, Esthétique relationnelle, 1998 Lionel Bovier & Christophe Cherix, Prise directe, 2003

Dan Graham, Rock/Music Textes, 1999

Robert Nickas, Vivre libre ou mourir, 2000

Vincent Pécoil, (éd.), Prières américaines, 2002

Fabian Stech, J'ai parlé avec Lavier, Annette Messager, Sylvie Fleury, Hirschhorn, Pierre Huyghe, Delvoye, D.G.-F., Hou Hanru, Sophie Calle, Ming, Sans et Bourriaud, 2007

Nicolas Thély, Vu à la webcam (essai sur la web-intimité), 2002

Eric Troncy, Le docteur Olive dans la cuisine avec le revolver,

Eric Troncy, Le colonel Moutarde dans la bibliothèque avec le chandelier, 1998

Elisabeth Wetterwald, Rue sauvage, 2003 Chen Zhen, Les entretiens, 2003

Avalaible in english

Nicolas Bourriaud, Relational Aesthetics, 2002 Robert Nickas, Live Free or Die, 2000 Chen Zhen, The Discussions, 2003

© Les presses du réel, 1998, 2009 © Les presses du réel, 2002 (for the english translation) www.lespressesdureel.com

#### Relational Aesthetics

#### Nicolas Bourriaud Relational Aesthetics

Translated by Simon Pleasance & Fronza Woods with the participation of Mathieu Copeland

les presses du réel

#### Foreword

either solved or unresolved by previous generations. The ohto come to grips with contemporary practices. So these remain problems that arise in a particular period or age, and take a close culture? The critic's primary task is to recreate the complex set of of contemporary art? What are its links with society, history, and are being raised these days by artists. What are the real challenges being raised, and it is, by extension, important to identify those that so-painful fact has to be accepted that certain issues are no longer cannot be perceived by analysing them on the basis of problems essentially unreadable, as their originality and their relevance An overwhelming majority of critics and philosophers are reluctant from, if not a theoretical discourse complete with shortcomings? Where do the misunderstandings surrounding 1990s' art come a few people to pursue their favourite hobbies on May Day, on a drawing up an inventory of yesterday's concerns, the better to look at the various answers given. Too often, people are happy ingredients required to make a Thai soup. Philippe Parreno invites organises a dinner in a collector's home, and leaves him all the behavioural by ceasing to take shelter behind the sixties art history? apparently elusive works to be decoded, be they process-related or has to do with the material form of these works. How are these question, as far as these new approaches are concerned, obviously lament the fact of not getting any answers. But the very first Let us quote several examples of these activities. Rirkrit Tiravanija

do with interactive, user-friendly and relational concepts. the liveliest factor that is played out on the chessboard of art has to One could add many other names and works to such a list. Anyhow transmitter available to the public, and puts a photograph of ad in a newspaper to find a girl to take part in his show. Pierre aim of teaching them a new song. Noritoshi Hirakawa puts a small builds an inflatable plastic yacht, and breeds chaffinches with the workshop in a gallery. Carsten Höller recreates the chemical check-out assistant in a supermarket, organises a weekly gym square, Jes Brinch and Henrik Plenge Jacobsen install an upturned labourers at work on view just a few yards from the building site Huyghe summons people to a casting session, makes a TV formula of molecules secreted by the human brain when in love, bus that causes a rival riot in the city. Christine Hill works as a multiples, or exhibits recently robbed safes. In a Copenhagen Cattelan feeds rats on "Bel paese" cheese and sells them as merely gets a glimpse of them through the doorway. Maurizio women in the same way, complete with a red wig, and the visitor factory assembly line. Vanessa Beecroft dresses some twenty

These days, communications are plunging human contacts into monitored areas that divide the social bond up into (quite) different products. Artistic activity, for its part, strives to achieve modest connections, open up (One or two) obstructed passages, and connect levels of reality kept apart from one another. The much vaunted "communication superhighways", with their toll plazas and picnic areas, threaten to become the only possible thoroughfare from a point to another in the human world. The superhighway may well actually help us to travel faster and more efficiently, yet it has the drawback of turning its users into consumers of miles and their by-products. We feel meagre and helpless when faced with the electronic media, theme parks, user-friendly places, and the spread of compatible forms of sociability, like the laboratory rat doomed to an inexorable itinerary in its cage, littered with chunks of cheese.

The ideal subject of the society of extras is thus reduced to the condition of a consumer of time and space.

we shall be discussing here outline so many hands-on utopias. protected from the uniformity of behavioural patterns. The works to be a rich loam for social experiments, like a space partly "achieved" in day-to-day life, artistic praxis appears these days was a reservoir of examples of what had to be tangibly Contrary to what Debord thought, for all he saw in the art world history traditionally earmarked for their "representation"? generate relationships with the world, in a practical field artbecome blurred in their "spectacular" representation. Herein lies relations are no longer "directly experienced", but start to described by Guy Debord. This is a society where human stage in the transformation to the "Society of the Spectacle" as separation that affects relational channels, represents the final be both monitored and repeated. The supreme "separation", the pursued on the basis of one or two simple principles, which can towards accordingly planned outlets, and that they should be specialisation, mechanisation and the law of profitability, it artefact. In a world governed by the division of labour and ultraextreme and clandestine forms, if it is to dodge the empire of replaced by them, and signposted by logos, has to take on the most burning issue to do with art today: is it still possible to behoves the powers that human relations should be channelled predictability. The social bond has turned into a standardised The relationship between people, as symbolised by goods or is thus the space most severely affected by general reification. being for two? So try our coffee... The space of current relations symbolic form of contemporary human relations. You are summonsed to talk about things around a duly priced drink, as a Before long, it will not be possible to maintain relationships looking for shared warmth, and the comforting feeling of well between people outside these trading areas. So here we are For anything that cannot be marketed will inevitably vanish

Some of the following essays were originally published in magazines—for the most part in *Documents sur l'art*, and exhibition catalogues<sup>1</sup>, but have been considerably reworked, not to say re-ordered, here. Others are previously unpublished. This collection of essays is also rounded off by a glossary, which readers may refer to whenever a problematic concept rears its head. To make the book that much easier to come to grips with, may we suggest to turn right away to the definition of the word "Art".

#### Relational form

as the artists? thinking behind them, if we do not start out from the same situation behaviour shown in exhibitions held in the 1990s, and the lines of still changing. How are we to understand the types of artistic social arena, and grasp what has already changed and what is it behoves us to understand the changes nowadays occurring in the In order to invent more effective tools and more valid viewpoints. traditionalist culture has taught them to loathe in yesterday's art. execrated present is concerned, cling solely to the things that their among latter-day detractors of modern art who, where the muchday artistic practices. The new is no longer a criterion, except heir to of their substance, but we go on applying them to presentcompletion has drained the criteria of aesthetic judgement we are emphasise in these bourgeois times, the spirit informing it). This been fairly and squarely wound up (and not, let us hasten to in the present. A certain aspect of the programme of modernity has not an immutable essence. It is the critic's task to study this activity develop and evolve according to periods and social contexts; it is Artistic activity is a game, whose forms, patterns and functions

# Contemporary artistic practice and its cultural plan

The modern political era, which came into being with the Enlightenment, was based on the desire to emancipate individuals and people. The advances of technologies and freedoms, the

 <sup>&</sup>quot;Le paradigme esthétique (Félix Guattari et L'art)" was published by the magazine Chimères, 1993; "Relation écran" was published in the catalogue for the 3rd Lyon Contemporary Art Biennial, 1995.

decline of ignorance, and improved working conditions were all billed to free humankind and help to usher in a better society. There are several versions of modernity, however. The 20th century was thus the arena for a struggle between two visions of the world: a modest, rationalist conception, hailing from the 18th century, and a philosophy of spontaneity and liberation through the irrational (Dada, Surrealism, the Situationists), both of which were opposed to authoritarian and utilitarian forces eager to gauge human relations and subjugate people. Instead of culminating in hoped-for emancipation, the advances of technologies and "Reason" made it that much easier to exploit the South of planet earth, blindly replace human labour by machines, and set up more and more sophisticated subjugation techniques, all through a general rationalisation of the production process. So the modern emancipation plan has been substituted by countless forms of melancholy.

acknowledge the legitimacy and interest of these experiments, this is Marx, the Dadaists and Mondrian. If opinion is striving to in the direction indicated by Enlightenment philosophers, Proudhon, perceptive, experimental, critical and participatory models, veering evident that today's art is carrying on this fight, by coming up with different philosophical, cultural and social presuppositions. It is modern rationalism; but it is now re-formed on the basis of quite say, developed from the ideological swing of things offered by of history? What used to be called the avant-garde has, needless to do as much-shored up by totalitarian ideologies and naïve visions conditions, on the pretext of the bankruptcy of tangible attempts to possible to disparage the desire to improve living and working teleology, any more than it can to political messianism. Is it many ways. For modernity cannot be reduced to a rationalist project was already there before them, differing from their plan in social living conditions), but it is as well to bear in mind that this (changing culture, attitudes and mentalities, and individual and International, fell within the tradition of this modern project Twentieth century avant-garde, from Dadaism to the Situationist

because they are no longer presented like the precursory phenomena of an inevitable historical evolution. Quite to the contrary, they appear fragmentary and isolated, like orphans of an overall view of the world bolstering them with the clout of an ideology.

It is not modermity that is dead, but its idealistic and teleological version.

Today's fight for modernity is being waged in the same terms as yesterday's, barring the fact that the avant-garde has stopped patrolling like some scout, the troop having come to a cautious standstill around a bivouac of certainties. Art was intended to prepare and announce a future world: today it is modelling possible universes.

construct it based on a preconceived idea of historical evolution. slipstream of historical modernity is to repeat neither its forms nor move; Deleuze, that "grass grows from the middle" and not from of action within the existing real, whatever the scale chosen by the and utopian realties, but to actually be ways of living and models Otherwise put, the role of artworks is no longer to form imaginary or so? This "chance" can be summed up in just a few words: known to us managed to spread their wings, over the past ten years represented the historical chance whereby most of the art worlds state of affairs: he defines it negatively, by using the term humankind". What is more, Lyotard seems to half-bemoan this abandon an overall reconstruction of the space inhabited by minor modifications in a space whose modernity it inherits, and modern architecture, which "is condemned to create a series of task is akin to the one that Jean-François Lyotard allocated to postits claims, and even less assign to art the same functions as it. Their present offers him, so as to turn the setting of his life (his links with the bottom or the top. The artist dwells in the circumstances the artist. Althusser said that one always catches the world's train on the learning to inhabit the world in a better way, instead of trying to "condemned". And what, on the other hand, if this "condemnation" The ambition of artists who include their practice within the

Maurizio Cattelan's phrase... objects are produced, as with the changing forms of social life, may on any theoretical terrain. Its plan, which has just as much to do Darwinism. Here, then, is the time of the "dolce utopia", to use nevertheless seem dull to minds formed in the mould of cultural with working conditions and the conditions in which cultural project, or than the claim that its subversive aspects are not based assertion that contemporary art does not involve any political modernity yesterday. There is nothing more absurd either than the Messianistic utopias and the formal "novelties" that typified objects less deserving of attention and examination than of the everyday and the development of time lived, which are not practices of cultural do-it-yourself and recycling, into the invention de Certeau's expression2. Nowadays, modernity extends into the the world on the move: he is a tenant of culture, to borrow Michel the physical and conceptual world) into a lasting world. He catches

### Artwork as social interstice

urban world, there was, in tandem, a scaling-down of furniture and attitudes). Because of the crampedness of dwelling spaces in this objects, now emphasising a greater manoeuvrability. If, for a long freeing-up of isolated places, going with the opening-up of networks and roads, and telecommunications, and the gradual to much greater individual mobility (through the development of not only to an extraordinary upsurge of social exchanges, but also cities, which took off at the end of the Second World War, gave rise less all cultural phenomena. The general growth of towns and urban culture, and from the extension of this city model to more or this, this evolution stems essentially from the birth of a world-wide political goals introduced by modern art. To sketch a sociology of space), points to a radical upheaval of the aesthetic, cultural and rather than the assertion of an independent and private symbolic horizon the realm of human interactions and its social context, The possibility of a relational art (an art taking as its theoretical

of dialogue. One of the virtual properties of the image is its power elaboration of meaning. Let us leave the matter of the historicity of subjectivity, and which takes being-together as a central theme, the of society, that "state of encounter imposed on people", to use experience: it is the tangible symbol and historical setting of the state discussion. The city has ushered in and spread the hands-on and literature which refer each individual person to his or her space on civilisation, because it tightens the space of relations, unlike TV to be particularly suitable when it comes to expressing this handssculpture which come across in the form of an exhibition) turns out generate bond. Art (practices stemming from painting and logos, icons, signs, all produce empathy and sharing, and all of linkage (Fr. reliance), to borrow Michel Maffesoli's term: flags. varying degrees, i.e. a factor of sociability and a founding principle this phenomenon on one side: art has always been relational in "encounter" between beholder and picture, and the collective practices: an art form where the substrate is formed by interintensive encounters has ended up producing linked artistic the power of an absolute rule of civilisation, this system of Rousseau, a jungle hampering any lasting encounter. Unce raised to jungle which the natural state once was, according to Jean-Jacques as a period of time to be lived through, like an opening to unlimited "owner's tour" is akin to the collector's). It is henceforth presented regard the contemporary work as a space to be walked through (the territorial acquisition. In other words, it is no longer possible to arrangement of works of art, associated with the feeling of nothing other than this falsely aristocratic conception of the artistic experiment. What is collapsing before our very eyes is and the way they are shown attest to a growing urbanisation of the owner and the crowd), the development of the function of artworks well as those of the apartment, helping to distinguish between their lordly item in this urban setting (the dimensions of the work, as Althusser's expression', contrasting with that dense and "trouble-free" period of time, the artwork has managed to come across as a luxury

of private consumption, and also unlike theatre and cinema which bring small groups together before specific, unmistakable images. Actually, there is no live comment made about what is seen (the discussion time is put off until after the show). At an exhibition, on the other hand, even when inert forms are involved, there is the possibility of an immediate discussion, in both senses of the term. I see and perceive, I comment, and I evolve in a unique space and time. Art is the place that produces a specific sociability. It remains to be seen what the status of this is in the set of "states of encounter" proposed by the City. How is an art focused on the production of such forms of conviviality capable of re-launching the modern emancipation plan, by complementing it? How does it permit the development of new politicaland cultural designs?

streets are swept clean of all manners of relational dross, and underpins the development of communication tools, public toilets were invented to keep streets clean. The same spirit social context restricts the possibilities of inter-human relations all the more because it creates spaces planned to this end. Automatic "communication zones" that are imposed upon us. The present-day encourages an inter-human commerce that differs from the whose rhythm contrasts with those structuring everyday life, and it representational commerce: it creates free areas, and time spans precise nature of the contemporary art exhibition in the arena of openly into the overall system, but suggests other trading possibilities than those in effect within this system. This is the space in human relations which fits more or less harmoniously and merchandising, autarkic types of production, etc. The interstice is a context by being removed from the law of profit: barter, a social interstice. This interstice term was used by Karl Marx to describe trading communities that elude the capitalist economic mercantile nature and its semantic value, the work of art represents material, which governs contemporary society. Over and above its place of artworks in the overall economic system, be it symbolic or Before giving concrete examples, it is well worth reconsidering the

neighbourhood relationships fizzle. The general mechanisation of social functions gradually reduces the relational space. Just a few years ago, the telephone wake-up call service employed human beings, but now we are woken up by a synthesised voice... The automatic cash machine has become the transit model for the most elementary of social functions, and professional behaviour patterns are modelled on the efficiency of the machines replacing them, these machines carrying out tasks which once represented so many opportunities for exchanges, pleasure and squabbling. Contemporary art is definitely developing a political project when it endeavours to move into the relational realm by turning it into an issue.

that minute space of daily gestures determined by the superstructure made up of "big" exchanges, and defined by it. groupings may occur, governed as they are by differing principles. other. When Jens Haaning broadcasts funny stories in Turkish this silent, still life nowadays formed by relationships with the operating at the hub of "social infra-thinness" (l'inframince social). MoMA garden in New York (Hamoc en la moma, 1993), he is exchange", must be judged on the basis of aesthetic criteria, in give rise to a specific "arena of exchange". And this "arena of models of sociability proposed and represented, an exhibition will onlooker by the artist, along with the nature of the works and the And depending on the degree of participation required of the and in it. The exhibition is the special place where such momentary which upsets their exile situation, formed in relation to the work made up of immigrants brought together by collective laughter 1994), he produces in that split second a micro-community, one through a loudspeaker in a Copenhagen square (Turkish Jokes, (a sleeping bag on the grass, an empty shoebox, etc. ). They record record of tiny revolutions in the common urban and semi-urban life Without any wording, Orozco's photographs are a documentary Brazilian market (Crazy Tourist, 1991), or slings a hammock in the When Gabriel Orozco puts an orange on the stalls of a deserted

other words, by analysing the coherence of its form, and then the symbolic value of the "world" it suggests to us, and of the image of human relations reflected by it. Within this social interstice, the artist must assume the symbolic models he shows. All representation (though contemporary art *models* more than it represents, and fits into the social fabric more than it represents, and fits into the social fabric more than it represents, and fits into the social fabric more than it of the society. As a human activity based on commerce, art is at once the object and the subject of an ethic. And this all the more so because, unlike other activities, *its sole function is to be exposed to this commerce*.

Art is a state of encounter

## Relational aesthetics and random materialism

game" and the "end of play". A new game is announced as soon as other words, in relation to the players and the system which they the social setting radically changes, without the meaning of the theories the outcome of an irksome muddle between the "end of the construct and criticise. Hubert Damisch saw in the "end of art' the game is being forever re-enacted, in relation to its function, in such thing as any possible "end of history" or "end of art", because (Marx: the human essence is the set of social relations). There is no individuals together in social forms which are invariably historical humankind is purely trans-individual, made up of bonds that link contingency, which has no pre-existing origin or sense, nor a "materialism of encounter", or random materialism. This Reason, which might allot it a purpose. So the essence of particular materialism takes as its point of departure the world noteworthy way by Louis Althusser, in one of his last writings, as tradition that underpins this relational aesthetics was defined in a reading works in purely economic terms. The philosophical does it imply that sort of narrow-mindedness that consists in "materialistic" does not mean sticking to the triteness of facts, nor Relational aesthetics is part of a materialistic tradition. Being

game itself being challenged<sup>5</sup>. This *inter-human game* which forms our object (Duchamp: "Art is a game between all people of all periods") nevertheless goes beyond the context of what is called "art" by commodity. So the "constructed situations" advocated by the Situationist International belong in their own right to this "game", in spite of Guy Debord who, in the final analysis, denied them any artistic character. For in them, quite to the contrary, he saw "art being exceeded" by a revolution in day-to-day life. Relational aesthetics does not represent a theory of art, this would imply the statement of an origin and a destination, but a theory of form.

through this viable world state, because they get elements held apart works, down to the most critical and challenging of projects, passes moment of their birth, stirring up new "possibilities of life". All spatial layout, they turn out to be lasting from the moment when scrap objects that litter Schwitters' "Merz pictures", Chris Burden's and colours inscribed on the surface of a Delacroix painting, the can be defined as a lasting encounter". Lasting encounters, lines "a setting of elements on one another (the way ice 'sets')". "Form it "causes an encounter with the next atom and from encounter to a slightly diagonal course. If one of these atoms swerves off course, their components form a whole whose sense "holds good" at the performances: over and above the quality of the page layout or the be joined together in a form, in other words, there must have been this encounter must be a lasting one: the elements forming it must between two hitherto parallel elements. In order to create a world encounter a pile-up, and the birth of the world"... This is how materialistic philosophical tradition ushered in by Epicurus and it, it is merely a subset in the overall series of existing forms. In the forms come into being, from the "deviation" and random encounter Lucretius, atoms fall in parallel formations into the void, following features of a world. The artwork does not have an exclusive hold on (independent entity of inner dependencies) which shows the typical What do we mean by form? A coherent unit, a structure principle of dynamic agglutination. An artwork is a dot on a line. is spreading out from its material form: it is a linking element, a signs, objects, forms, gestures... The contemporary artwork's form advance, but the principle acting as a trajectory evolving through effects of a composition, as the formalistic aesthetic would like to the "things" those two artist "produce"; it is not the simple secondary Gordon Matta-Clark or Dan Graham's work can not be reduced to the isolated object, it now can embrace the whole scene: the form of form, a world) can not be questioned. The setting is widening; after outspread can be witnessed in injunction by the founder of sociology, facts that happens in the time or space, and whose unity (making it a artistic "thing" sometime offers itself as a "fact" or an ensemble of Emile Durckheim, considering the "social fact" as a "thing"... As the instability and the diversity of the concept of "form", notion whose be re-activated by the beholder-manipulator. I want to insist on the An artist's artwork thus acquires the status of an ensemble of units to unknown: for example, computer science put forward the notion of allow the human spirit to recognise other types of "world-forms" still a "world" a collection of disparate element (installation, for instance) program, that inflect the approach of some artist's way of working. that no unifying matter, no bronze, links. Other technologies may sequence shot as a new dynamic unity), enabling us to recognise as of photographic images, then cinematography (introduction of the visual experience has become more complex, enriched by a century back in the 19th century. Today, the "glue" is less obvious, as our "keeping together" is not the same thing that this public imagined manage to form a word, is, needless to say, dependent on the composition of this bonding agent, whereby encountering atoms historical context. What today's informed public understands by experiences, be it Cézanne's apples or Buren's striped structures. The together moments of subjectivity associated with singular and Guattari were not saying anything different when they defined the work of art as a "block of affects and percepts". Art keeps to meet: for example, death and the media in Andy Warhol. Deleuze

#### Form and others' gaze

If, as Serge Daney writes, "all form is a face looking at us", what does a form become when it is plunged into the dimension of dialogue? What is a form that is essentially relational? It seems worth while to discuss this question by taking Daney's formula as a point of reference, precisely because of its ambivalence: as forms are looking at us, how are we to look at them?

Form is most often defined as an outline contrasting with a content. But modernist aesthetics talks about "formal beauty" by referring to a sort of (con)fusion between style and content, and an inventive compatibility of the former with the latter. We judge a work through its plastic or visual form. The most common criticism to do with new artistic practices consists, moreover, in denying them any "formal effectiveness", or in singling out their shortcomings in the "formal resolution". In observing contemporary artistic practices, we ought to talk of "formations" rather than "forms". Unlike an object that is closed in on itself by the intervention of a style and a signature, present-day art shows that form only exists in the encounter and in the dynamic relationship enjoyed by an artistic proposition with other formations, artistic or otherwise.

There are no forms in nature, in the wild state, as it is our gaze that creates these, by cutting them out in the depth of the visible. Forms are *developed*, one from another. What was yesterday regarded as formless or "informal" is no longer these things today. When the aesthetic discussion evolves, the status of form evolves along with it, and through it.

In the novels of polish writer Witold Gombrowicz, we see how each individual generates his own *form* through his behaviour, his way of coming across, and the way he addresses others. This form comes about in the borderline area where the individual struggles with the Other, so as to subject him to what he deems to be his "being". So, for Gombrowicz, our "form" is merely a relational property, linking us with those who reify us by the way they see us, to borrow a Sartrian terminology. When the individual thinks he is

casting an objective eye upon himself, he is, in the final analysis, contemplating nothing other than the result of perpetual transactions with the subjectivity of others.

quintessence of artistic practice. the social setting for the reception of art, which is its "environment", its "field" (Bourdieu), but also becomes the "relationist" theory of art, inter-subjectivity does not only represent productive status: the status of an "encounter". As part of a hence the negation of dialogue, which, alone, grants form a "judgement", thus aimed, is peremptory and final in each instance, the level of a pettifogging historical criticism. own persuasions. It is an aesthetics that reduces artistic practice to choices. We are in the presence of a prosecutor's aesthetics, here, paint is to become part of history through plastic and visual for which the artist confronts the history of art in the autarky of his and aesthetic, stated by the artist in the act of its production. To work is nothing other than a "sum of judgements", both historical which we discover in the essays of Thierry de Duve<sup>6</sup>, for whom any Here we are at the opposite end of this authoritarian version of art giving rise to other relations, and so on and so forth, ad infinitum. the work of every artist is a bundle of relations with the world, thus resides in the invention of relations between consciousness. a negotiation with the intelligible, which is bequeathed to us. Each particular artwork is a proposal to live in a shared world, and Through it, the artist embarks upon a dialogue. The artistic practice introduces human interactions. The form of an artwork issues from assumes its texture (and only acquires a real existence) when it publicised by a work. Our persuasion, conversely, is that form only The artistic form, for some, side-steps this inevitability, for it is

As Daney suggested, form becomes "face" through the effect of this invention of relations. This formula, needless to add, calls to mind the one acting as the pedestal for Emmanuel Lévinas' thinking, for whom the face represents the sign of the ethical taboo.

uses a transitive ethic which places his work between the "look-at-me" competition and violence'. When an artist shows us something, he sociability is the need for acknowledgement, much more than commune, Tzvetan Todorov has shown how the essence of summed up by a binomial: someone shows something to someone world, which the beholder thus becomes capable of discussing, and on which the image may have a meaning, by pointing to a desired is the representative of desire in the image. It is the horizon based a form is to invent possible encounters; receiving a form is to create cinematographic art, which even if it does lie at the origin of of Bazin and Rossellini, claiming the "ontological realism" of the only therefore suitable for producing taboos and proscriptions and the "look-at-that". Daney's most recent writings lament the way the new-born child "asks for" its mother's gaze. In La Vie who returns it as he sees fit. The work tries to catch my gaze, the based on which his own desire can rebound. This exchange can be game of tennis. If we nudge Daney's reasoning a bit further, form image, is nothing other than the representation of desire. Producing Daney's thought, does not sum it up. He maintains that form, in an involved here, for Daney, is not solely a reference to the aesthetics we were not"s, when it "takes the place of another". What is are responsible for this. To be persuaded of as much, suffice it to "all form is a face looking at us", he does not merely mean that we through the burden of "responsibility"? When Daney explains that servility? Is the image, which, for Daney, is a metaphor of the face. responsibility", he writes, but don't ethics have a horizon other than we have towards others: "the bond with others is only made as "what forbids me to kill". Any "inter-subjective relation" proceeds the conditions for an exchange, the way you return a service in a him, the image is not "immoral" when it puts us "in the place where revert to the profound significance of the image for Daney. For this humanism which reduces inter-subjectivity to a kind of interby way of the form of the face, which symbolises the responsibility The face, Lévinas asserts, is "what orders me to serve another"

end of this "Show/See" pairing, which represented the essence of a democracy of the image in favour of another pairing, this one TV-related and authoritarian, "Promote/receive", marking the advent of the "Visual". In Daney's thinking, "all form is a face looking at me", because it is summoning me to dialogue with it. Form is a dynamic that is included both, or turn by turn, in time and space. Form can only come about from a meeting between two levels of reality. For homogeneity does not produce images: it produces the visual, otherwise put, "looped information".

#### Art of the 1990s

### Participation and transitivity

A metal gondola encloses a gas ring that is lit, keeping a large bowl of water on the boil. Camping gears is scattered around the gondola in no particular order. Stacked against the wall are cardboard boxes, most of them open, containing dehydrated Chinese soups which visitors are free to add the boiling water to and eat.

sculpture? an installation? a performance? an example of social This piece, by Rirkrit Tiravanija, produced for the Aperto 93 at the number of stands offering a range of services, works proposing a considerably. In international exhibitions we have seen a growing activism? In the last few years, pieces such as this have increased Venice Biennial, remains around the edge of any definition: is it a sociability. Spectator "participation", theorised by Fluxus happenings precise contract to viewers, and more or less tangible models of coefficient", attempting to create precise boundaries for the As for the space of reflection opened up by Marcel Duchamp's "art and performances, has become a constant feature of artistic practice. share of interactivity grows in volume within the ratify a development that goes way beyond the mere realm of art. The the cultural object as a fait accompli. As such, these factors merely resolved in a culture of interactivity which posits the transitivity of receiver's field of activity in the artwork, this is nowadays being set of

Jean-François Lyotard: "The post modern explained to children", London, Turnaround, 1992.

Michel de Certeau: Manières de faire, Editions Idées-Gallimard.
 I mis Althusser: Ferits philosophiques et politiques Editions Stock-IV.

<sup>3.</sup> Louis Althusser: Ecrits philosophiques et politiques, Editions Stock-IMEC, 1995, p. 557.

Michel Maffesoli: La contemplation du monde, Editions Grasset, 1993.

Hubert Damisch: Fenêtre jaune cadmium, Editions du Seuil.

<sup>6.</sup> Thierry de Duve: Essais datés. Editions de La Différence, 1987.

<sup>7.</sup> Emmanuel Lévinas: Ethique et infini, Poche-Biblio, p. 93.

<sup>8.</sup> Serge Daney: Persévérance, Editions P.O.L., 1992, p. 38.

<sup>9.</sup> Tzvetan Todorov: La Vie commune, Editions du Seuil, 1994

communication vehicles. On the other hand, the emergence of new technologies, like the Internet and multimedia systems, points to a collective desire to create new areas of conviviality and introduce new types of transaction with regard to the cultural object. The "society of the spectacle" is thus followed by the society of extras, where everyone finds the illusion of an interactive democracy in more or less truncated channels of communication...

positions", in other words, a microcosm defined by power plays and regards the art world as a "space of objective relations between of transitivity, between the beholder and the world. Pierre Bourdieu offering it its socio-economic underlay): relations between individuals and groups, between the artist and the world, and, by way relations outside the field of art (in contrast to relations inside it, nature of present-day art with the help of the concept of creating recipients. It seems possible, in our view, to describe the specific geometric place of a negotiation with countless correspondents and Any artwork might thus be defined as a relational object, like the negotiations have to be undertaken, and the Other presupposed... the actual origin of the image-making process. At the outset of this, but it actually takes things a step further by postulating dialogue as putting forward the notion that it's the beholder who make pictures. image. This proposition may well seem to borrow Duchamp's, rebelled against, when he explained that it takes two to make an conception of artistic practice, incidentally, that Jean-Luc Godard never recaptured desire for dissemination. It is against this closed which is inherent to dialogue. It denies the existence of any specific of transitivity introduces into the aesthetic arena that formal disorder "place of art", in favour of a forever unfinished discursiveness, and a the duty of the beholder's eye to bring to life and develop. This idea successful picture temporarily "condensed" an emotion that it was crushed by contemplation. Delacroix wrote in his diary that a artwork. Without it, the work is nothing other than a dead object, Transitivity is as old as the hills. It is a tangible property of the

even if this world was still ruled by the divine figure, with the help of importance to the physical situation of the human being in his world developed from the Renaissance on, a period that attached great gradually abandoned this goal, and explored the relations existing of this order made it possible to draw closer to divine designs. Art alongside a nature that represented the model order. An understanding ways of communicating with the deity. It acted as an interface between situated in a transcendent world, within which art aimed at introducing this production of relations with the world, by naïvely raising the areas of production that determines its development. It would be and legitimising the order of internal relations. In a word, the "Art" this structure relies on a second order of external relations, producing structure of the art world actually outlines a limited set of "Possible" unwitting instruments in the service of laws that exceed them, like new visual tools such as Alberti's perspective, anatomical realism, and between Man and the world. This new, relational, dialectical order human society and the invisible forces governing its movements To give a broad historical picture, let us say that artworks were first issue of the nature of the external relations "invented" by artworks. possible, furthermore, to write a history of art that is the history of network is porous, and it is the relations of this network with all the I don't go along with this cyber-deterministic position, for if the inner Napoleon or Alexander the Great in Tolstoy's Theory of History... "it's art that makes art, not artists". These latter are thus mere function of all members". Which gives them a chance to assert that members means that everything that happens in it will possibly be a co-operative system. The dense network of interconnections between reading. As part of their networking works, the Ramo Nash Club which it can be read. There are many ways of stating this "relational struggles whereby producers strive to "preserve or transform it" Leonardo da Vinci's "Sfumato". This artwork's purpose was not (Devautour collection artists) thus suggests that "art is an extremely insofar as it presents a "system of differential positions" through Like any other social arena, the art world is essentially relational

radically challenged until the arrival of Cubism which attempted to analyse our visual links with the world by way of the most nondescript everyday objects and features (the corner of a table, pipes and guitars), based on a mental realism that reinstated the moving mechanisms of our acquaintance with the object.

The relational arena opened up by the Italian Renaissance was thus gradually applied to more and more limited objects. The question: "What is our relationship to the physical world?" had a bearing, first and foremost, on the entirety of the real, then on limited parts of this same reality. Needless to say, this is in no way a linear progression. One finds painters like Seurat, the rigorous analyst of our ocular ways of perception, living at the same time as someone like Odilon Redon, who tried to see through our relations with the invisible. Essentially, though, the history of art can be read like the history of successive external relational fields, propped up by practices determined by the internal development of these fields. It is the history of the production of relations with the world, as publicised by a class of objects and specific practices.

represent, today, aesthetic objects likely to be looked at as such word all manner of encounter and relational invention thus between people, games, festivals, and places of conviviality, in a Meetings, encounters, events, various types of collaboration relations have now become fully-fledged artistic "forms" the artwork, the figures of reference of the sphere of human this, I mean that over and above the relational character intrinsic to ideological and practical arena, but new formal fields as well. By of sociability. This specific production determines not only an work will create among his public, and on the invention of models artist sets his sights more and more clearly on the relations that his activities that have been in progress since the early 1990s. So the the sphere of inter-human relations, as illustrated by Humankind and the object, artistic practice is now focused upon of relations between Humankind and deity, and then between Today, this history seems to have taken a new turn. After the area

> with pictures and sculptures regarded here merely as specific cases of a production of forms with something other than a simple aesthetic consumption in mind.

#### Typology

#### Connections and meetings

only exists as an artwork by virtue of this observation. In January spectator is thus prompted to move in order to observe a work, which moment during the morning of the 5th of March 1969, half a cubic managing its own temporal structure. Meetings with a public are no elapses within a factual time, for an audience summoned by the artist any time. It is there before our eyes, offered to the curiosity of a closing times, geographical remoteness), an artwork can be see at availability. Beyond obvious material impossibilities (museum metre of helium was released into the atmosphere" by him. The phenomenon, the way Robert Barry announced that at "a certain readymade. Others have summoned the public to observe a specific necessarily involved. Marcel Duchamp, for example, invented his In a nutshell, the work prompts meetings and invites appointments, artwork is thus no longer presented to be consumed within a clauses have tended to become diversified since the 1960s. The presupposes a contract with the viewer, an "arrangement" whose not be confused with the work itself. This type of activity performance is over, all that remains is documentation that should time. The example of performance is the most classic of all. Once the marked by non-availability, by being viewable only at a specific theoretically universal public. Now, contemporary art is often the day, the first object within his reach would be transformed into a "Rendez-vous d'art", by arbitrarily ordaining that, at a certain time of "monumental" time frame and open for a universal public; rather, it Pictures and sculptures are characterised, by their symbolic 1970, Christian Boltanski sent a few acquaintances an SOS letter that

was sufficiently vague in its content to be a standard letter, like On Kawara's telegrams informing their addressees, likewise from 1970 onwards, that he was "still alive". Today, the form of the visiting card (used by Dominique Gonzalez-Foerster, Liam Gillick and Jeremy Deller) and the address book (some of Karen Kilimnik's drawings), the growing importance of the opening as part of the exhibition programme (Parreno, Joseph, Tiravanija, Huyghe), together with the originality endeavour made in the production of invitations (hanfover from mailart), illustrate the importance of this "rendez-vous" represented by the artistic arena, and which forms its relational dimension.

### Conviviality and encounters

been an historical constant since the 1960s. The generation of the matter of the definition of art, so pivotal in the 1960s and 1970s. The 1990s took up this set of issues, though it had been relieved of the Filliou in Villefranche. The constitution of convivial relations has sourit [The Smiling Cedilla] opened by George Brecht and Robert organized by Daniel Spoerri, and the ludic shop called La cédille qui restaurant opened in 1971 by Gordon Matta-Clark, the dinners experience which leads her to "collaborate" with the people she employed as a chambermaid, or asking blind people what their meets. Let us further mention, the On Kawara's I met series, the Food definition of beauty is, she formalises, after the fact, a biographical following a passer-by, rummaging through hotel rooms after being largely in describing her meetings with strangers. Whether she is inhabitants of an apartment block. And Sophie Calle's work consists painstakingly mapped the relationships existing between the alongside the bust of a celebrity. In the early 1970s, Stephen Willats face of an anonymous passer-by on an advertisement-sized poster, or individual and group encounters. To mention just a few examples degree of randomness, or a machine provoking and managing Casual Passer-by series, which exaggeratedly celebrate the name and from the past two decades, this applies to Braco Dimitrijevic's A work may operate like a relational device containing a certain

> neighbourhood committee type, the organisation of day-nurseries in so I think that microscopic attempts, of the community and think it is illusory to aim at a step-by-step transformation of society, on strategies that underpin present-day artistic practices: "Just as I to everyday micro-utopias and imitative strategies, any stance that is culture where the artwork stands up to the mill of the "Society of the today, the emphasis put on external relations as part of an eclectic great importance to the "new" and calling for linguistic subversion; relations inside the art world, within a modernist culture attaching different set of problems emerge: yesterday, the stress laid or arena. Based on one and the same family of activities, two radically experiencing art's capacities of resistance within the overall social the faculty, and the like, play an absolutely crucial role<sup>3</sup>". Almost thirty years ago, Félix Guattari was advocating those handsmarginality that is nowadays impossible, not to say regressive Spectacle". Social utopias and revolutionary hopes have given way issue no longer resides in broadening the boundaries of art, but in "directly" critical of society is futile, if based on the illusion of a

wrote a text that was handed out to lone diners in the restaurant. Ber described the anxiety she felt about "having supper on her own", and set off the broadcast of a piece of music by Kraftwerk (1993)... For crucibles where heterogeneous forms of sociability are worked out whereby the artist models and disseminates disconcerting situations vanishing lines, in those temporary and nomadic constructions art is now achieved in the invention of individual and collective now only fuels art in the form of archaic folklore, a magnificent but would do their washing-up, and kept an information network Kinmont, for his part, proposed randomly selected people that he the Restaurant exhibition in Paris, in October 1993, Georgina Starr When a certain number of visitors sat down on the seats, these latter For her show at the CCC in Tours, Angela Bulloch set up a café Whence the present-day craze for revisited areas of conviviality ineffectual toy. The subversive and critical function of contemporary Traditional critical philosophy (the Frankfurt school, in particular)

around his works. On several occasions, Lincoln Tobier has set up a radio station in art galleries, and invited the public to a discussion then broadcast over the airwaves.

street, and Eric Duyckaerts' video-lectures), or else given over to contemporary "socius": experiences publicised by surface-objects and explorations of relational schemes, these works form immediate experience (Andrea Fraser's exhibition tours). (Liam Gillick's boards, Pierre Huyghe's posters made in the relational microterritories displayed in the depth of the would end up in an improbable misunderstanding... As creations thought it was the other person who had called, so their exchanges telephonically together. Each person at the other end of the line airwave-pirating equipment, he linked two art galleries probably Angus Fairhurst's piece, for which, with the help of untimely communication upsetting communication networks is multiple "instructions" to selected people. The best example of social space. So he phoned the customers in a café, and sent relational fabric in a more aggressive way. Douglas Gordon's interactivity, by acting parasitically and paradoxically in the work, for example, explores the "wild" dimension of this West's Passtücke. But other artists are suddenly emerging in the created by Heimo Zobernig for the exhibition Unité, and Franz a full fridge... To wind up these convivial situations being exhibition, equipped in particular with a table football game and developed as part of a "friendship" culture, let us mention the bar (Dijon, 1994) a relaxation area intended for the artists in the conviviality, by including in the Surfaces de réparation show on the other hand, has explored the socio-professional aspect of of individuals around art objects in situation... Rirkrit Tiravanija, all the ingredients ended up producing relational forms -clusters square metres of space", which involved organising a party where party. His exhibition project at Le Consortium in Dijon (January Philippe Parreno has been particularly inspired by the notion of 1995) consisted in "occupying two hours of time rather than

Collaborations and contracts

Those artists proposing as artworks:

a/ moments of sociabilityb/ objects producing sociability,

way, Sam Samore asks gallery owners to take photographs which he owner Ileana Sonnabend on his shoulders... In a more circuitous relations likely to define an artistic production. Artists take things intrinsic part of the institution, is just the literal aspect of inter-human then selects and reframe. But this artist/curator pairing, which is ar for Stefano Basilico creating the illusion that he was carrying gallery he had to wear throughout the exhibition, and by earmarking clothes by designing a phallic rabbit costume for Emmanuel Perrotin, which has also worked directly on the physical person of his gallery owners social bond at the root of artistic representation. Maurizio Cattelan conjure up the portrait tradition, when the commission formed the form of "hints" and "clues" by the person commissioning the work explores the unspoken contract that binds the gallery owner to colour range (here, a predominant red). Gonzalez-Foerster thus que vous croyez voir (welcome to What You Think You're Seeing, extract production principles from it. The exploration of relations further, by working with spectacle figures; whence Dominique fragmented biographies, where the main factors are provided in the personal history, and vice versa. It goes without saying that those "his/her" artist, the former being an integral part of the other's formally organised according to their evocative potential and their intimism to mix Esther Schipper's childhood memories with objects Maubrie, and The Daughter of a Taoist (1992) used a set inspired by exhibitions to the biographies of her gallery owners. Bienvenue à ce media, images, spaces and objects, has thus devoted several whose work deals with the relations which link lived life with its may determine forms and a project. Dominique Gonzalez-Foerster, existing between, for instance, the artist and his/her gallery owner also sometimes use a relational context defined in advance so as to (1988) included photographic documentation about Gabrielle

Gonzalez-Foerster's work with the actress Maria de Medeiros (1990); the series of public activities organised by Philippe Parreno for the imitator Yves Lecoq, through which it was his intent to refashion, from within, the image of a television person (*Un homme public*, Marseille, Dijon, Ghent, 1994-1995).

could be listened to with headphones. Clegg & Guttman, for their part based on sound documents included interviews with tenants, which to compile statistics. Then there is Fareed Armaly, whose installation inhabitants of the building where the exhibition was being held, so as being the Premiata Ditta group, which systematically questioned the directly on modifying and objectivizing social relations, one such of a large housing complex. Several of those taking part worked work in a formless relational model, as the one offered by the residents Unité still the best example (Firminy, June 1993), enabled artists to material that is available for anyone to use. Some artistic events, with teller, officialization of a liaison, etc. ) which pre-exist him or her, becomes involved in form-producing worlds (visit to the fortunecertificates, official photos and other souvenirs... The artist here reified. She exhibits objects produced by this contractual worldinstitution of marriage, which is a factory where human relations are four different people, divorcing them all in record time. In this way, the contractual bonds of marriage: in six months, she got married to Lambert put herself inside the "adult role-playing" represented by the For a series titled Wedding Piece (1992), Alix Lambert investigated alongside photos and slides conjuring up the world of clairvoyance. records their predictions that could then be listened to with a walkman as when he asked several fortune-tellers to predict his future; He contract drawn up with his model, who is not necessarily visible in the show. The images he exhibits are always the outcome of a specific photos. In other instances, Hirakawa uses a particular corporate body, travel with him in Greece, a visit that would be the material for the (1994) he published a small ad to recruit a girl who would agree to meetings. So for his show at the Pierre Huber Gallery in Geneva Noritoshi Hirakawa, for his part, produces forms based on set up

presented in the middle of their work a kind of bookshelf unit, the shape of which suggested the architecture of Le Corbusier, and was designed to hold on tapes each inhabitant's favourite pieces of music. The cultural customs of the residents were thus objectivized by an architectonic structure, and grouped on tape, floor by floor, thus forming compilations that could be consulted by all and sundry throughout the exhibition... As a form fuelled and produced by collective interaction, Clegg & Guttman's *Record lending library*, whose principle was once more used for the *Backstage* show at the Hamburg Kunstverein in that same year, embodies in its own right this contractual system for the contemporary artwork.

## Professional relation: clienteles

phenomena which imitate the general economy, as is the case with therefrom, as a device of artistic production. These make-believe modelling of a professional activity, with the relational world issuing pioneers such as Panamarenko and the John Latham's "Artist's mind, as well as more or less parody-oriented "businesses" like Peter Fend, Mark Dion, Dan Peterman and Niek Van de Steeg in identify by the term: operative realism, with artists as diverse as It is this wavering between contemplation and use that I have tried to function of the objects he is presenting, and their aesthetic function ambiguity, within the space of his activity, between the utilitarian production of goods and services, and aims to set up a certain production methods. Here, the artist works in the real field of the aimed at recreating socio-professional models and applying their into, so that he/she can take forms from them. Other practices are to do with already existing types of relations, which the artist fits fishery and a production workshop, but without learning any limited to a construction of the replicas of an airline company, a Ingold Airlines, Servaas Inc., and Mark Kostabi's "studio", are Placement Group"). What these artists have in common is the Ingold Airlines and Premiata Ditta. (The same term might be used for As we have seen, these various ways of exploring social bonds have

divulge the salient facts of his life, with a view to a biography that would then be formalised by the artist. where, with no more than an appointment, the visitor came to and the Capc in Bordeaux'. These were Biographical Offices pieces shown by Dominique Gonzalez-Foerster at the ARC in Paris artist and collector. A more discreet narcissism lies at the root of the cloudy relational economics that underpin the relations between pieces produced are signed by their purchaser, shed light on the the Capc in Bordeaux. But Philippe Thomas' system, in which the out of steam after the Feux Pales (Pale Fires) (1990) exhibition at second stage, because his signature casting project ran somewhat different. He did not have time to proceed in a credible way to a to Everyone) agency, headed by the late Philippe Thomas, is a bit ready-mades appartiennent à tout le monde (Ready-mades Belong restricted to a parody-like dimension of art. The example of the Les ideological and practical lessons from doing so, and thus being

and bring back an image that was completely out of synch when where the thing was happening at the same time as their "colleagues" press agency teleprinters, Bond and Gillick would hasten to the places embarked upon in 1990, adjust their function to a precise context. By becoming acquainted with information just as it "came through" on Henry Bond and Liam Gillick as part of the Documents projects love, Baroque sets, and para-scientific experiments. Others, like involve human behaviour: inventing a drug that releases a feeling of scientific training to the invention of situations and objects which the relational fabric. Carsten Höller, for his part, applies his high-level gestures art is like an angelic programme, a set of tasks carried out supermarket check-out, organising group meetings etc. ), driven by beside or beneath the real economic system, so as to patiently re-stitch the anxiety caused by the feeling of uselessness. So through little most menial of tasks (giving massages, shining shoes, working at a is Christine Hill's modest aim, when she becomes involved in the social bond. Form thus really becomes the "face looking at me". This Through little services rendered, the artists fill in the cracks in the

> a social function among others, a permanent "digestion of data", the the manufacture of objects" purpose of which is to rediscover the "initial desires that presided over Moderne de la ville de Paris into a supermarket, Hybert defines art as bound for North Africa, and the transformation of the Musée d'Art the economy. Through his import-export activity dealing with seating reproduction of mercantile trade, focuses on the desiring dimension of removed from Guillaume Bijl's illusionism as from an imitative he puts the beholder in an awkward position. This project, which is as to the public through his company "UR" (Unlimited responsibility), as directly dispatched by their manufacturers and earmarked for sale industrial products actually or metaphorically contained in his work Musée d'Art Moderne de la ville de Paris in February 1995, all the commission, and project. When Fabrice Hybert exhibited at the introduce relational worlds governed by concepts of clientele, order or parameters of "worlds" that are heterogeneous to it, these artists here conducting themselves inside the art world on the basis of the Steeg put themselves in the architect's working conditions. By press, just as Peter Fend, with his OECD company, and Niek Van de and Gillick strictly applied the production methods of the mainstream compared with the usual criteria of the profession. In any event, Bond

#### How to occupy a gallery

The exchanges that take place between people, in the gallery or museum space, turn out to be as likely to act as the raw matter for an artistic work. The opening is often an intrinsic part of the exhibition set-up, and the model of an ideal public circulation: a prototype of this being Yves Klein's *L'exposition du vide*, in April 1958. From the presence of Republican guards at the entrance to the Iris Clert Gallery to the blue cocktail offered to visitors, Klein tried to control every aspect of the routine opening protocol, by giving each one a poetic function defining its object: the void. Thus, to mention a work still having repercussions, the work of Julia Scher (*Security by Julia*) consists in placing surveillance apparatus

in exhibition venues. It is the human flow of visitors, and its possible regulation, which thus becomes the raw material and the subject of the piece. Before long, it is the entire exhibition process that is "occupied" by the artist.

other artist but psychoanalysts, coaches, friends... This type of "real theoretical form with the Traffic exhibition that I curated. In both which was held in Ghent in October 1994, before finding a more time" work, which tends to blur creation and exhibition, was taken up outside people thus helped to build a space of relations, not only compliance with the principles of an interactive video game, a "real instances, each artist was at leisure to do what he/she wanted Liz Larner, and then by This is the show and the show is many things. Gallery (1992), with Felix Gonzalez-Torres, Matthew McCaslin and by the exhibition Work, Work in Progress. Work at the Andrea Rosen time film" experienced and produced by the three artists. A lot of interplay of inter-human relations was thus materialised in struck up among visitors turned into a while-you-wait script, written of Les Ateliers du Paradise, where everyone was rigged out in a live by the film-maker Marion Vernoux on the gallery computer. The personalised T-shirt ("Fear", "Gothic", etc. ), the relations that were viewer, in accordance with very precise rules of play. At the opening production workshop, a "photogenic space" jointly managed by the Parreno and Perrin occupied the gallery, it was to turn it into a artist's sleep and breakfasts. On the other hand, when Joseph, signify that the arena of art was expanding, and even included the of their ideological and aesthetic foundation as their respective radically different relational worlds, which are as different in terms period can be. When Ben lived in the gallery, it was his intent to remake of Ben's performance, but the two projects refer to two Ateliers du Paradise. It might be hastily concluded that this was a the Air de Paris Gallery, literally and figuratively, with their show Les Pierre Joseph, Philippe Parreno and Philippe Perrin also "lived in" fortnight, with just a few essential props. In Nice, in August 1990, In 1962, Ben lived and slept in the One Gallery in London for a

a young man wearing a g-string on a minimal plinth, or the person work. What position should be adopted when looking at a work that understand that his gesture was contributing to the break-up of the to the visitor's sense of responsibility, and the visitor had to disappear if every visitor exercised this right: the artist thus appealed enabled two or three visitors at a time to check out the scene, from a blonde wigs, while a barrier preventing entrance to the gallery wearing identical thin polo-neck jumpers and panties, and all in November 1994, the artist took photos, among a dozen girls all her first one-woman show, with Esther Schipper in Cologne, juggles with a similar chord, but keeps the beholder at a distance. At by assimilating it to the artworks surrounding it. Vanessa Beecroft aesthetic designs, which reifies, no precautions taken, a human being Nice, 1991), it is impossible not to avert the eye, enmeshed in its female beggar brandishing her rattle (No man's time, Villa Arson. accommodates in the exhibitions at the opening. Looking at The looking at personnages vivants à réactiver, which Pierre Joseph hands out its component parts while trying to hang on to its structure? piece (a sweet, a sheet of paper), but it would purely and simply example, the visitor was authorised to take away something from the make a decision. In Gonzalez-Torres' Stacks and piles of sweets, for exhibition's structure. He was faced with devices requiring him to place, because his interaction with the works helped to define the setting evolved, the exhibition played the part of a flexible matter performances and events. With each modification, as the genera throughout the exhibition, to alter the piece, replace it, or propose see-through sides following the random itinerary of a Parisian (Dike Blair), a walker walking on a moving walkway, in a truck with Damien Hirst (Art Cologne, 1992), a stripper performing her show popular imaginary, two twin sisters exhibited beneath two pictures by voyeur viewer: Pierre Joseph characters coming from a fantastic distance. Strange groups of people, under the curious gaze of a The same ambiguity awaited the viewer of his Go-go Dancer (1991). "informed" by the work of the artist. The visitor here had a crucia

a possible reconciliation between man and the "wild" world. On the thought that "thought is made in the mouth" happen: art is made in the gallery, the same way that Tristan Tzara concerned, their author has no preordained idea about what would other hand, as far as most of the above-mentioned pieces are he gave himself over to a demonstration of his powers, pointing to days locked with a coyote (I like America and America likes me), individual and social behaviour. When Joseph Beuys spent a few in galleries acting as test-tubes for experiments to do with of Love, 1992), animals and human beings bumping into each other by glue-steeped monochrome canvases (Damien Hirst, In and out in whisky (collective video, Unplugged, 1993), butterflies attracted monkey on a lead (Meyer Vaisman, Jablonka Gallery, 1990), rats inebriated by Carsten Höller with the help of bits of bread soaked fed on "Bel Paese" cheese by Maurizio Cattelan, poultry rendered (Pierre Huyghe, 1993), a stallholder playing a barrel-organ with a

## Space-time exchange factors

#### Artworks and exchanges

of moods and acts whereby the work acquires its relevance in the place, or function, it allocates to the beholder, but also the creative production process, its position within the set of exchanges, and the actually shows (or suggests) not only its manufacturing and which hallmarks it as a product of human labour. The work of art and now. This negotiation is undertaken in a spirit of "transparency" coefficient of art", which is a temporal process, being played out here of art is successful, it will invariably set its sights beyond its mere activities. This quality is its (relative) social transparency. If a work present. The "transparency" of the artwork comes about from the fact beginning of art we find the behaviour adopted by the artist, that set behaviour, that the latter seems to be the image of the former, like its by Jackson Pollock so closely links the flow of paint to an artist's gestures which make up his/her work, and which each individual behaviour of the artist (otherwise put, the sequence of postures and form of inter-human negotiation that Marcel Duchamp called "the presence in space: it will be open to dialogue, discussion, and that has a quality that sets it apart from other things produced by human has a special place in the collective production process. A work of art Because art is made of the same material as the social exchanges, it that the gestures forming and informing it are freely chosen or "necessary product", as Hubert Damisch' has written. At the work passes on like a sample or marker). So every canvas produced

<sup>1.</sup> Pierre Bourdieu, Raisons pratiques, Editions du Seuil, p. 68.

Cf. writings of Lucy Lippard such as Dematerialization of the artwork, and Rosalind Krauss, Sculpture in the Expanded Field, etc.

<sup>3.</sup> Félix Guattari, Molecular Revolution, Penguin, 1984.

<sup>4.</sup> On this concept, we should mention two writings: "Qu'est-ce que le réalisme opératif", in the catalogue for Il faut construire l'Hacienda, CCC Tours, January 1992. "Produire des rapports au monde", in the catalogue for Aperto, Venice Biennial, 1993.

shrouds its primary "nature". The fact is that a work of art has no a introduced into the exchange circuit, any kind of production takes on exchange, seems intolerable to the bigot. We know that, once popular icon represented by the image of Marilyn Monroe, the sense said of art, and Marx was the first, that it represents the "absolute to produce this item. It is represented by a sum of money, which is the substance, according to Marx, is the "amount of abstract labour" used value, that is, a common substance that permits their exchange. This communication, the world of "commerce", in both meanings of the it is devoted, right away, to the world of exchange and available and flexible, and has an "infinite tendency". In other words, priori useful function-not that it is socially useless, but because it is usefulness. It acquires an exchange value that partly covers and a social form which no longer has anything to do with its original look. This relative transparency, which is an a priori form of artistic artistic work contrasts, need it be said, with the sacred, and with those indifference to the subjects selected by him. This "transparency" of process adopted by the artist, governed by an altogether mechanical of Andy Warhol's Marilyn stems from the industrial production invented, and are part of its subject. For example, over and above the merchandise", because it is the actual image of the value. "abstract general equivalent" of all goods between them. It has been term. What all goods have in common is the fact that they have a ideologies which seek in art the means of giving the religious a new

But what exactly are we talking about? About the art object, not about artistic practice, about the work as it is assumed by the general economy, and not its own economy. Art represents a barter activity that cannot be regulated by any currency, or any "common substance". It is the division of meaning in the wild state-an exchange whose form is defined by that of the object itself, before being so defined by definitions foreign to it. The artist's practice, and his behaviour as producer, determines the relationship that will be struck up with his work. In other words, what he produces, first and foremost, is relations between people and the world, by way of aesthetic objects.

#### The subject of the artwork

Every artist whose work stems from relational aesthetics has a world of forms, a set of problems and a trajectory which are all his own. They are not connected together by any style, theme or iconography. What they do share together is much more decisive, to wit, the fact of operating within one and the same practical and theoretical horizon: the sphere of inter-human relations. Their works involve methods of social exchanges, interactivity with the viewer within the aesthetic experience being offered to him/her, and the various communication processes, in their tangible dimension as tools serving to link individuals and human groups together.

So they are all working within what we might call the relational sphere, which is to today's art what mass production was to Pop Art and Minimal Art.

explanation, given that the decade that has just gone by, marked as show a preference for contact and tactility. They prefer immediacy it in relation to previous generations. Most artists emerging in the generation toward communications that makes it possible to define neighbour, a direct interlocutor. It is precisely the attitude of this belittling it. The artwork of the 1990s turns the beholder into a relativizes the place of visuality in the exhibition protocol, without aesthetic reasons for this: the "back to" pendulum came to a halt in spectacular and showy undertakings. There are also purely it was by the recession, turned out to be not very propitious to in their visual writing. This phenomenon has a sociological developed the visual aspect of the media, while their successors They all root their artistic praxis within a proximity which of the 1970s. This albeit superficial voguish effect made it possible down to its crisis "ambience", with the "poor" and experimental art by simulationism. For better or for worse, our era is identified, right whose visual effectiveness underpins most of the forms proposed the 1980s on movements from the 1960s, and mainly Pop Art, 1980s, from Richard Prince to Jeff Koons by way of Jenny Holzer.

to re-view the works of artists like Gordon Matta-Clark and Robert Smithson, while the success of Mike Kelley recently encouraged a rereading of Californian "Junk Art", from Paul Thek to Tetsumi Kudo. Fashion also creates aesthetic microclimates, the effects of which have repercussions even on our reading of recent history. Otherwise put, the sieve organises the mesh of its net in different ways, and "lets through" other types of works-which, in return, influence the present.

constructed conviviality are worked out. It is nevertheless quite alternative forms of sociability, critical models and moments of experiences trying to rid themselves of the straitjacket of the ideology of mass communications, in a way, of the places where they produce are relational space-time elements, inter-human (Georges Bataille would have written: "rift" ("déchirure")). What interaction, the space of openness that ushers in all dialogue space where their works are displayed is altogether the space of as an outcome, in brief, as the main informers of their activity. The traditional artistic practice. It takes them as a point of departure and fashionable theoretical gadgets, not as additives (alibis) of a artists considers inter-subjectivity and interaction neither as to become quite sure that interactivity is anything but a new idea... to cap all modernist themes. Suffice it merely to re-read the lecture obvious backdrop of all aesthetic praxis, and as a modernist theme Novelty is elsewhere. It resides in the fact that this generation of given by Marcel Duchamp in 1954, titled "The Creative Process" prior example in art history, even if it appears, after the fact, as the basic claim-the sphere of human relations as artwork venue-has no and from a line of thinking about the fate of artistic activity. Its comeback of any style. It arises from an observation of the present Relational art is not the revival of any movement, nor is it the any re-interpretation of this or that past aesthetic movement Conceptual Art in the mid sixties, in no way draw sustenance from of a group of people who, for the first time since the appearance of This said, we find ourselves, with relational artists, in the presence

conflict, whereas the imaginary of our day and age is concerned they are. Nobody nowadays has ideas about ushering in the golden distinct units, and alliances struck up between different partners the invention of new assemblages, possible relations between longer trying to advance by means of conflictual clashes, by way of with negotiations, bonds and co-existences. These days we are no disqualifying the past in favour of the future. It was based or proceeded by way of separations and contrasts, readily "imaginary of contrasts", to borrow Gilbert Durand's term, which simply time to write it down. For modernism was steeped in an assured that much pondering went into this last sentence: it is challenging their dogmatism and their teleological doctrines. Rest legacy of the 20th century avant-gardes, while at the same time and think, present-day art is roundly taking on and taking up the these fundamentalists clinging to yesterday's good taste may say and those backward-looking militant such as Jean Clair. Whatever traditionalists ("Beauty" according to the priceless Dave Hickey) being defended by our freelance philosophers, the neothinking, as contrasted with the cautious forms of conventionality as modern a soft spot for aesthetic experience and adventurous rediscovered. Modernity, however, is not dead, if we acknowledge at least, passes for art theory in the form of "common sense" depressive, authoritarian and reactionary thinking which, in France in any event it represents a much-awaited alternative to the bet on happier tomorrows. That is all, but it is quite something. And invent possible relations with our neighbours in the present than to a social interstice within which these experiments and these new intentionally fragmentary experiments. The artwork is presented as subjective, everyday basis, in the real time of concrete and calls for a better world all ready to be walked into and lived in clear that the age of the New Man, future-oriented manifestos, and Aesthetic contracts, like social contracts, are abided by for what "life possibilities" appear to be possible. It seems more pressing to well and truly over. These days, utopia is being lived on a

age on Earth, and we are readily prepared just to create various forms of *modus vivendi* permitting fairer social relations, more compact ways of living, and many different combinations of fertile existence. Art, likewise, is no longer seeking to represent utopias; rather, it is attempting to construct concrete spaces.

## Space-time factors in 1990s' art

suited to the formalisation of certain activities and projects. Other "video artists". This medium merely turns out to be the one best three artists, have a preference for video recording, they are still not and in relation to the history of art as it is being currently updated? are the right exhibition methods in relation to the cultural context But if Peter Land, Gillian Wearing and Henry Bond, to name just Video, for example, is nowadays becoming a predominant medium. and methods, or to Gordon Matta-Clark, Robert Smithson and Dan art makes reference to conceptual and Fluxus-inspired situations with their own thinking. The real question is more likely this: what Graham, it is to convey lines of thought which have nothing to do about the object, and their sociological discourse. When relational Realists all relied on the readymade to develop both their rhetoric lexical basis. Jasper Johns, Robert Rauschenberg and the New in Minimal Art, but they simply use these like a vocabulary, a their formal and theoretical marks in Conceptual Art, in Fluxus and is to find a coherent position with regard to the current state of to be incorporated in a set of artistic references?) and social (how production and social relations?). These activities evidently acquire (how is it to be "translated" in material terms?), historical (how is perceive their work from a threefold viewpoint, at once aesthetic relational production is not unalterable, either. These artists are developed. The subsequent form that each artist gives to this particular lines of thought and personal relationships with the world merely a repertory of common forms, vehicles through which meetings, convivial and user-friendly areas, appointments, etc. ) are These "relational" procedures (invitations, casting sessions

> notarised contract, ubiquitous in the sixties' art), tends to draw contrast to "high culture", through it and for it. more fertile terrain than "pop culture" -a form that only exists in visual. And above all, the everyday now turns out to be a much group is pitted against the mass, neighbourliness against interpreted as a change in the collective sensibility. Henceforth the scaled-down models of communicational situations. This can be pop culture, Liam Gillick, Miltos Manetas and Jorge Pardo work on tackled the visual form of mass communications and the icons of made use of the media in the previous decade. Where these artists artists are placed at the other extreme, compared with how artists life. We can use the term communications, but here, too, today's inspiration more from the flexible processes governing ordinary from the administrative rationality that underpins it (the form of the different aesthetic bases. Relational art, which is well removed thereby drawing the lessons of Conceptual Art, but on radically artists thus produce a systematic documentation about their work propaganda, low tech against high tech, and the tactile against the

art, let us bear in mind that these works in no way celebrate immateriality. None of these artists has a preference for "performances" or concept, words that no longer mean a whole lot here. In a word, the work process no longer has any supremacy over ways of rendering this work material (unlike Process Art and Conceptual Art, which, for their part, tended to fetishize the mental process to the detriment of the object). In the worlds constructed by these artists, on the contrary, objects are an intrinsic part of the language, with both regarded as vehicles of relations to the other. In a way, an object is every bit as immaterial as a statue. This arbitrary division between the gesture and the forms it produces is here called into question, insofar as it is the very image of contemporary alienation: the cannily maintained

a work by Tiravanija or Douglas Gordon, other than a relationship relationship to a relationship? defines the relations one has towards this relationship: the with the world rendered concrete by an object, which, per se, hyper-reality of artistic value. What has one bought when one owns bought a Jeff Koons, what was being brought to the fore was the by Jackson Pollock or Yves Klein, he was buying, over and above a few comparative factors, we should have to embark upon a encounters. Today's art thus prompts us to envisage the relations became the purchaser of a historical situation. Yesterday, when you its aesthetic interest, a milestone in a history on the move. He history of the use value of art. When a collector purchased a work What, in the final analysis, is the object of their work? To introduce Gillick, Dominique Gonzalez-Foerster and Vanessa Beecroft? derives its main originality from the way this issue is handled between space and time in a different way. Essentially, moreover, it they organise types of sociability and regulate inter-human social work concrete-and producers of relations-for, conversely, ethical means. Objects and institutions, and the use of time and What, actually, is concretely produced by artists such as Liam works, are at once the outcome of human relations-for they render that the end of art justifies the pettiness of the intellectual and illusion, even in art institutions, that objects excuse methods and

#### Joint presence and availability: The theoretical legacy of Felix Gonzalez-Torres

which it has made a conspicuous contribution appraisal in order to reinstate it in the present-day context, to death in 1996, the Cuban artist's work calls for a critical extending to our relations with things. This is why, after his contemporary aesthetics, but they go a whole lot further too. today, to be meaningful. Not only are they at the hub of art, as produced and staged by Gonzalez-Torres, turn out, with the convivial offering and the availability of the work of form of its presence amid an audience. This set of issues to do displaying its construction (or dismantlement) process, but the endowed with a defined form and a certain density, a work not involve a "Performance", or a poster hand-out, but a work the piece to change and then vanish? For this work did not paper offered to an abstract public? What process would cause happens if lots of visitors walk off in turn with these sheets of allowed to take one of the posters away with him/her. But what Mirror), 1990. Offset print on paper, endless copies. One is paper. The notice read: Felix Gonzalez-Torres, Untitled (Blue as a frame. On the edge the blue was heightened by the pile of posters. It is sky-blue in colour, with broad white piping acting to enable you to forget that it was just a stack of identical enough to give an impression of monumentality, and too stark The work involved here is a smallish cube of paper, not big

<sup>1.</sup> Hubert Damisch, Fenêtre jaume cadmium, Editions du Seuil, p. 76

Homosexuality as a paradigm of cohabitation

assertion: quite to the contrary, it became a life model that could enthusiasm for the universal, and not a categorising claim. With relationships. What is involved, in both instances, is an dimension, a form of life creating forms of art. Felix Gonzalezbe shared by all, and identified with by everyone. Gonzalez-Torres, homosexuality did not seal a community Michel Foucault to advance a creative ethics of love the bases of a homo-sensual aesthetics, in the sense that inspired Torres was probably the very first person to convincingly posit represented not so much a discursive theme than an emotional ability to side-step community-oriented identifications to get to in the artist's skilful instrumentalization of forms, and in his problems or an agenda for gay activism. Its strength lies at once and reduce Felix Gonzalez-Torres' work to a neo-formalist set of the heart of the human experience. So, for him, homosexuality It would be too easy to comply with a currently widespread trend

in a subtle interplay of contrasts and dissimilarities, and driven inevitably heterogeneous realities, complementing one another a significant moment in the representation of the couple, a classic by the "1", but the absence of the "2". This is why his work was twofold, and dual. The feeling of loneliness is never represented 5th) #1, 1991); the basic unit of Gonzalez-Torres' aesthetic is 5th) # 2, 1991); two mirrors set side by side (Untitled (March bulbs fixed to the wall, with intertwined wires (Untitled (March bearing the signs of a body (24 posters, 1991); two bare light clocks with their hands stopped at the same time (Untitled ubiquitous, but it is never a binary contrast. We thus see two hallmarked mainly by a contrastless duality. The figure "two" is (suffice it to think of Van Eyck's The Marriage of Giovanni by the ambivalence of the motions of attraction and repulsion figure in the history of art. This is no longer the addition of two (Perfect Lovers), 1991); two pillows on a crumpled bed, still Furthermore, it gave rise in his work to a specific realm of forms.

> in Paris; the black edging on the white posters). (Bloodworks), 1989; the red and white beads of Untitled (Blood), ubiquitous in the work; illness (the record of Untitlea separation, including all the imagery of absence which is happy moments (the light bulbs and the travel figures); other (the "portraits"); life shared, presented like a string of Meeting and coming together (all the "pairs"); knowledge of the which all have a close relationship with lovers living together. couple, thus cohabitation. The work is also divided into figures Gonzalez-Torres told the tale not of an individual, but of a idea has something incomplete about it. From start to finish, of the candy pieces just when his boyfriend Ross died), but this own life (the highly personal tone of the puzzles, the appearance autobiographical, given the many allusions the artist made to his its main paradigm. It is tempting to describe his work as self, which is endlessly declined and which certainly represents this harmonious parity, and in this inclusion of the other in the is typified as a tranquil, twofold unit, or an ellipse (Untitlea queen" symbolism). Gonzalez-Torres' couple, on the other hand, (Double Portrait), 1991). The formal structure of his work lies in Arnolfini and Giovanna Cenami, or the Duchampian "king and 1992); and last of all the lament of death (Stein and Toklas' grave

Overall, Gonzalez-Torres' work is well and truly organised around an autobiographical project, but a two headed, shared autobiography. So from the mid eighties onward, when the Cuban artist had his first shows, he foreshadowed a space based in inter-subjectivity, which is precisely the space that would be explored by the most interesting artists of the next decade. To mention just a few, whose work is now coming to maturity. Rirkrit Tiravanija, Dominique Gonzalez-Foerster, Douglas Gordon, Jorge Pardo, Liam Gillick and Philippe Parreno, who each develop their personal problem-set, but also find common ground around the priority they give to the space of human relations in the conception and distribution of their works (they

express ways of production based on inter-human relations). Dominique Gonzalez-Foerster and Jorge Pardo are perhaps the two artists with the most points in common with Gonzalez-Torres: the former by dint of his exploration of household intimacy as an interface of the movements of the public imaginary, turning the most personal and complex memories into clear, spare forms; the latter as a result of the minimal, evanescent, subtle aspect of his formal repertory, and his ability to solve space-time problems by the geometrization of functional objects. Gonzalez-Foerster and Pardo alike both put colour at the centre of their concerns. The fact is that it is often possible to recognise Gonzalez-Torres' "style" by its chromatic softness (blue sky and white everywhere; red is only introduced to indicate blood, the new figure of death).

might be summed up thus: "How can I live in your reality?" or choice: it shows that artistic structures are never limited to just Needless to say, this recycling also represents an aesthetic and retrospectively switched our reading of art towards a less art structures of the ninety sixties created brand new situations bilaterally?"... The injection of the artist's intimist world into the "How can a meeting between two realities alter them and co-existence. The persistent issue raised by Gonzalez-Torres reminiscent of Kosuth). But here, too, what is at issue is pairing Conceptual Art (the white on black poster-portraits are candy corners call to mind Richard Serra in the late sixties) and resembling Sol LeWitt drawings), anti-form and process art (the repertory of Minimal Art (the paper cubes; the diagrams "refills" now historicized forms, and his re-use of the aesthetic has been a great deal of emphasis on the way Gonzalez-Torres be as essential to the formal understanding of the work. There one set of meanings. On the other hand, the simplicity of the formalist and more psychologically oriented line of thinking The idea of including the other is not just a theme. It turns out to

forms used by the artist contrasts fiercely with their tragic and militant content. But the essence is still this merging horizon aimed at by Gonzalez-Torres, this demand for harmony and cohabitation which even encompasses his relationship with art history.

## Contemporary forms of the monument

a sense of human existence (and point to possible trajectories) usually towards values rubberstamped by common sense. motto, "In God We Trust", and major investment in art veers of faith-not for nothing does the dollar bill brandish its proud that the structural need to find a firm foothold in the certainties exceptions. The irrational nature of the capitalist economy feels market turns out to be quite at one with them, with one or two to be rediscovered) and codified rules (painting, now!). The ar moral code), an origin acting as guarantor of this sense (an order would like there to be ready-made sense (and its transcending chaos that People stand up to by means of words and forms. They They do not want to see that the world is nothing other than a going beyond social exchanges and collective constructions category, as they assume the sense to be a pre-established entity, them, a pile of paper cannot be included in the masterpiece "sense" or "meaning" a notion pre-existing human action. For whole sale-today, usually by those who see in the concept of definition that contemporary art sees itself being disparagedwithin this chaos called reality. And it is in the name of this the umbrella terms of "work of art" lies in their ability to produce The common point between all the things that we include within

So people worry at seeing today's artists revealing processes and situations. People grumble about the "overly conceptual" aspect of their works (thus, as the sign of a sure instinct in laziness, conceding the failure to understand forms by the use of a term whose meaning is not known). But this relative immateriality of the nineties' art (which is more a sign of the priority given by

eternity precisely because it is specific and temporary. Cornélius Castoriadis-a formal resolution which touches on inhabiting the edge of the abyss'.", to borrow the words of everyone to come, of the possibility of creating significance by Contemporary work is more than ever this "demonstration, for "monument" when it comes to producing long-lasting effects. point. Present-day art has no cause to be jealous of the classical the picture being looked at. We must stick to our guns on this frame, which is not necessarily the conventional time frame of the art object into a series of events, giving it a proper time dodge materialisation, but deconstructs the methods of making the work, but an event. A Tiravanija show, for example, does not Philippe Parreno explains. It does not represent the logical end of object is just a "happy ending" to the exhibition process, as explore the process that leads to objects and meanings. The nor by a mannerist refusal to create objects. They display and produce objects) is motivated neither by an aesthetic militancy, these artists to time in relation to space than a desire not to

Felix Gonzalez-Torres seems to offer a perfect example of this ambition. He died of AIDS, after having rooted his work in an acute awareness of time spans, and of the survival of the most intangible of emotions. He paid close attention to production methods, and focused his practice on a theory of exchange and division. As an activist, he promoted new forms of artistic commitment. As a gay man, he managed to transmute his life style in terms of ethical and aesthetic values.

In a more precise way, he raised the issue of the processes of materialisation in art, and of the way our contemporaries look at new forms of materialisation. For most people, and in spite of technological development which ridicules this type of bias, the time span of an item of information and the capacity of a work of art to confront time are linked with the solidity of the materials chosen, and accordingly, and thus implicitly, with tradition. By confronting and rubbing shoulders with death as an individual,

Gonzalez-Torres bravely decided to put the problems of inscription at the core of his work.

He would even broach it from its most delicate side, in other words, based on the differing aspects of the monumental: the commemoration of events, the continuity of memory, and the materialisation of the intangible. So the appearance of the string of electric lights is connected with a secret vision that occurred in Paris in 1985: "I looked up and immediately took a picture, because it was a happy sight". Gonzalez-Torres earmarked the most monumental part of his work for the portraits that he produced on the basis of interviews and conversations with the people commissioning them. As friezes with an often chronological succession of private memories and historical events, the portraits produced in the manner of wall-drawings fulfil an essential function of the monument: the conjunction, within a unique form, of an individual and his times.

words, "Two clocks side by side are more of a threat to power monumental strategy to which the artist subscribes. In his own unwittingly deny the possibility and range of the illness scope which refers us to our constant desire not to see that, and someone with AIDS. Once the connection has been made not directly evoke the drop in white corpuscles in the blood of uninformed visitor might well look at Untitled (21 Days of of the forms used to conjure them up. Thus, for example, an their complexity and their seriousness, and the minimal nature between the importance of the events conjured up, together with clearly in the ongoing contrast which Gonzalez-Torres sets up than the image of two guys giving each other a blow job Nothing is ever demonstrative or explicit in the political the illness), the allusive power of the work takes on a terrible between these two realities (the discreetness of the drawing, and Bloodwork-Steady Decline) as a set of minimalist drawings. But this stylisation of social forms comes across even more The fine grid and the single diagonal line crossing the space do

because it cannot use me as a rallying point in its struggle to obliterate meaning<sup>3</sup>."

of this profoundly and intentionally discreet work. moral codes (a lesbian couple), is not the least noteworthy aspect counter to traditional procedures (a framed photo) and bourgeois monumental: otherwise put, the production of a moral emotion. simple photographic still life, he rediscovered the essence of the most reactionary of Republican senators. Here, with the help of a unquestionable choice, capable of demanding respect from the The fact that an artist manages to trigger this emotion, running Torres ratified a fact; he posited female homosexuality as an flowers planted on the shared grave of the two friends, Gonzalezresults of a blood test. With Untitled (Alice B. Toklas and body. It's your life", he said to his friend Ross, faced with the that affect human bodies: "It 's a total abstraction; but it's the Memory, here, undergoes a process of abstraction similar to those Gertrude Stein's Grave, Paris), a 1992 photograph depicting forms, like so many cryptic messages, or messages in bottles Gonzalez-Torres does not deliver messages: he includes facts in

# The criterion of co-existence (works and individuals)

Gonzalez-Torres' art thus gives pride of place to the negotiation and construction of a cohabitation. It also contains a beholder's ethic. As such, it is part of a specific history, a history of works prompting the onlooker to become aware of the setting he finds himself in (the happenings and environments of the sixties, and in situ installations).

At a Gonzalez-Torres show, I saw visitors grabbing as many candies as their hands and pockets could hold: in doing so they were being referred to their social behaviour, their fetishism and their cumulative concept of the world... while others did not dare, or waited for the person next to them to filch a candy, before doing likewise. The candy pieces thus raise an ethical problem in an apparently anodyne form: our relationship to

authority and the way museum guards use their power; our sense of moderation and the nature of our relationship to the work of art.

Insomuch as this latter represents the occasion of a physical experience based on exchange, it has to be subject to criteria similar to those which underpin our appreciation of any old constructed social reality. What nowadays forms the foundation of artistic experience is the joint presence of beholders in front of the work, be this work effective or symbolic. The first question we should ask ourselves when looking at a work of art is:

– Does it give me a chance to exist in front of it, or, on the contrary, does it deny me as a subject, refusing to consider the Other in its structure? Does the space-time factor suggested or described by this work, together with the laws governing it, tally with my aspirations in real life? Does it criticise what is deemed to be criticisable? Could I live in a space-time structure corresponding to it in reality?

concern that informs it. For art does not transcend everyday public and audience. What strikes us in the work of this rules in force controlling the management of different kinds of worthy of ongoing interest are those which work like interstices, and origin). On the other hand, artworks which today seem to me death, or terminally ill, or unless he espouses a fascistcontemporaries, unless he regards himself as under sentence of anthropomorphic vision of art, but to a vision that is quite simply authoritarian art in front of its viewers might refer to another make-believe. Who do we want to kid into thinking that an remarkable nature of any relationship to the world, preoccupations, it confronts us with reality by way of the like space-time factors governed by an economy going beyond fundamentalist version of History (time closed in on its sense, human. For all I know, an artist addresses his works to his These questions do not refer to any exaggeratedly real than that of an intolerant society, be it fantasised or generation of artists is, first and foremost, the democratic through

accepted? At the other end of the scale, the exhibition situations presented to us by artists such as Gonzalez-Torres, and today Angela Bulloch, Carsten Höller, Gabriel Orozco and Pierre Huyghe, are governed by a concern to "give everyone their chance", through forms which do not establish any precedence, a priori, of the producer over the beholder (let us put it another way: no divine right authority), but rather negotiate open relationships with it, which are not resolved beforehand. This latter thus wavers between the status of passive consumer and the status of witness, associate, customer, guest, co-producer, and protagonist. So beware: we know that attitudes become forms, and we should now realise that forms prompt models of sociability.

And the forms that exhibitions take do not avoid these precautions. The spread of "curiosity cabinets", which we have been witnessing for some time, but also the elitist attitudes of certain people in art circles, attest to an absolute loathing of the public place and shared aesthetic experimentation, in favour of boudoirs earmarked for experts. The availability of things does not automatically make them commonplace. Like one of Gonzalez-Torres' piles of candies, there can be an ideal balance between form and its programmed disappearance, between visual beauty and modest gestures, between childlike wonder in front of the image and the complexity of the levels at which it is read.

# The aura of artworks has shifted towards their public

Today's art, and I'm thinking of the above-mentioned artists as well as Lincoln Tobier, Ben Kinmont, and Andrea Zittel, to name just three more, encompasses in the working process the presence of the micro-community which will accommodate it. A work thus creates, within its method of production and then at the moment of its exhibition, a momentary grouping of participating viewers.

speculated on the presence of the viewer as an intrinsic part of gadgets here. This kind of work (mistakenly called "interactive") visitors, so that they could dance under the fairy lights, by switched-on light bulbs; a pair of Walkman was provided for in 1993, Untitled (Arena), he installed a quadrilateral bounded about whales. For his one-man show at the Jennifer Flay Gallery violets on the tables, and providing visitors with information the museum cafeteria by painting it blue, putting bunches of In a show at Le Magasin in Grenoble, Gonzalez-Torres altered with the help of comparable formal wherewithal, is worked out eye and work. The space defined by Gonzalez-Torres' works space of Minimal Art was constructed in the distance separating contributes his whole body, complete with its history and and it is abundantly clear that a work like Untitled (Arena) no tools required for a critical analysis of our perceptual conditions. of an object in a situation, one which, virtually by definition denounced, incidentally, under the umbrella title of the work. It is this ocular "participation" that Michael Fried derives from Minimal Art, whose phenomenological backdrop part in the formulation of its meaning. No outcry about facile arrangement, giving it life, complementing the work, and taking artist encouraged the "beholder" to take up a position within an noiselessly in the middle of the gallery. In both instances the manipulation, understanding, decision-making, going beyond encounter with the work gives rise not so much to a space (as in response given by the beholder to the experience proposed. The in inter-subjectivity, in the emotional, behavioural and historical behaviour, and no longer an abstract physical presence. The longer originates just from ocular perception: the beholder includes the beholder4". In its day, Minimal Art provided the "theatricality": "The experience of literalist art [Minimal Art] is the act of "rounding off" the work by looking at it. the case of Minimal Art) as to a time span. Time of

of existence. up of inter-human communications, the dimensional emancipation thing is no longer the emancipation of individuals, but the freeingbequeathed us. In our post-industrial societies, the most pressing extend modernity to advantage by going beyond the struggles it has inevitability of the families, ghettos of technological userfriendliness, and collective institutions on offer. We can only ways of being together, forms of interaction that go beyond the contemporary culture hailing from modernity, means inventing synthesis which, alone, will be able to save us from the regressive fantasy that is abroad. Reintroducing the idea of plurality, for singularity and against group impulses, we must bring in a new is being wound up. Today, after two centuries of struggle for down contemporary individualism. A phase in the modern project the traditional aura; and we don't have enough words to shout and everywhere. In a muddled way, we are hoping for the return of looking at today? Sacredness is making a comeback, here, there of the community over the individual, and systematically critique emancipation, modernity has striven to criticise the predominance with art. At the same time, as part of a general movement of sole appearance of a distance" property conventionally associated forms of collective alienation. So what do we find ourselves religious effect a hard time, an effect defined by Benjamin as "the "unlimited mechanical reproduction" effectively gave this parabrilliantly described by Walter Benjamin in 1935. The age of phenomenon of the disappearance of the aura of the work of art, as Modern art widely accompanied, discussed and precipitated the

A certain suspicion creeps in with regard to mediative tools, and transitional objects in general. And thus, by extension, to the work of art regarded as a medium whereby an individual expresses his/her vision of the world in front of an audience. Relations between artists and what they produce thus tend towards the *feedback* zone. For some years now, there has been

an upsurge of convivial, user-friendly artistic projects, festive, collective and participatory, exploring the varied potential in the relationship to the other. The public is being taken into account more and more. As if, henceforth, this "sole appearance of a distance" represented by the artistic aura were provided by it: as if the micro-community gathering in front of the image was becoming the actual source of the aura, the "distance" appearing specifically to create a halo around the work, which delegates its powers to it. The aura of art no longer lies in the hinter-world represented by the work, nor in form itself, but in front of it, within the temporary collective form that it produces by being put on show.

a radical shift in relation to modern art, insomuch as it does not contemporary art. It does not involve those corporate phenomena a social binding hardening around totems of identity. The aura of everyone has to hang on to his/her identity'. It is a matter of premasterpiece produced by the group General Idea, Towards an origin and effect. This was the thrust, some time back, of that turn its back on the aura of the work of art, but rather moves its question in a structural way). Contemporary art thus introduces the power game by enabling it never to have to call itself into environmentalism all operate too frequently as lobbies playing conservatism (in this day and age, feminism, anti-racism and which too often act as a disguise for the most die-hard forms of It is in this sense that we can talk of a community effect in defined cording and restricted to a contract, and not a matter of aesthetic than with these momentary experiences, where associations. But the audience concept must not be mythicizedphase and spoke directly to the audience, offering it patterns of audience vocabulary (1977), which skipped the whole art object contemporary art is a free association the idea of a unified "mass" has more to do with a Fascist behaviour. The aura was recreated there, by way of free

Beauty as a solution?

Among the various reactionary temptations currently exercising the cultural domain, we find in pride of place a project to rehabilitate the idea of Beauty. This concept can be veiled behind a varied terminology. We can credit Dave Hickey, the art critic who is today's champion of this return to the norm, with calling a spade a spade. In his essay "Invisible dragon: Four essays on beauty<sup>6</sup>", Hickey is quite vague about the actual content of this idea. The most precise definition he comes up with is this: it is a matter of "the agency that caused visual pleasure in the beholder; and any theory of images that was not grounded in the pleasure of the beholder begged the question of efficacy, and doomed itself to inconsequence."

There are two key notions involved here: a/ efficacy, and b/ pleasure.

If I am to draw the necessary conclusions from this proposal, a work of art is thus inconsequential if it is not efficacious, if it does not show itself to be useful (in other words, by procuring a certain degree of pleasure) to those viewing it. However I might try to avoid disagreeable comparisons, it has to be said that this type of aesthetic represents an example of Reagan-Thatcherite ethics applied to art. Nowhere does Hickey challenge the nature of this pleasure-giving "arrangement": does he regard as natural the concepts of symmetry, harmony, sobriety and equilibrium, which is to say, the pillars of aesthetic traditionalism, which underlie the masterpieces of both the Renaissance and then Nazi art?

Hickey does nevertheless make the odd point. We get a much clearer idea of what he is referring to when he writes that "beauty sells". Art, he goes on, must not be mistaken with idolatry and advertising, but "idolatry and advertising are, indeed, art, and the greatest works of art are always and inevitably a bit of both". "As I don't get off on either of these things, I'll let the author assume responsibility for what he writes.

such, Arthur Danto's "institutionalist" positions (and for him Art of the agency called Beauty by Hickey is extremely relative, compliance with the idea I have about thought. The real "nature" alongside this torrent of fetishist irrationalism, more in exists when institutions "recognise" To get back to the issue of beauty in art, or to what passes for profoundly altered our aesthetic canons, through a series of rules that govern taste. The discovery of African art, for example, viewpoints which, generation after generation, formulate the because it is negotiation, dialogue, cultural friction and swapped to me to be a bit limiting, too. In this ongoing struggle to define and "true" sculpture did not exist between Greek Antiquity and mediations and discussions. Let us recall that, at the end of the the realm of art, many other people appear to come into the picture, from the "savage" activities of artists to reigning Donatello, But the "institutional criterion" dear to Danto seems 19th century, all El Greco was good for was second-hand dealers. a work) seem to me.

With Felix Gonzalez-Torres, however, we find an aspiration towards what Hickey calls beauty: a constant quest for simplicity and formal harmony. Let's call it an immense delicateness, that virtue that is at once visual and ethical. Never the slightest excess, or stress on effect. His work assaults neither eye nor feelings. Everything about it is implicit, discreet and fluid, unlike any cosmetic and body-built conception of "the visual impact". He is forever juggling with clichés, but these come back to life in his hands: the sight of a cloudy sky, or the photograph of a sandy beach printed on satin-finish paper, everything makes an impression although the beholder might be irked by so much kitsch. Gonzalez-Torres braces himself against subconscious emotions. So I am gripped by a childlike sense of wonder in front of the glowing, dazzling hues of piles of candies. The austerity of the "stacks" is offset by their fragile precariousness.

We might raise the objection that the artist plays here on facile emotions, that nothing is more ordinary, according to Boltanski, than these aesthetics which swiftly become emotional blackmail. But what matter is what is done with this type of emotion: what they are steered towards, how the artist organises them among themselves, and to what intent.

#### Screen Relations

## Today's art and its technological models

and artistic practices do not always go hand-in-hand, and that this to the nature of these bonds: by noting, for example, that technology existing between the social order and the aesthetic order. Nowadays. complicated than they were in the 1960s. Let us recall that, in its relationships between art and technology are much more subjugation as much as improvements to daily life. So the image technology and atomic energy represent threats and tools of optimism with regard to the liberating power of technology has Silicon Valley as far as technical goods are concerned, even though called "developing world", does not enjoy the same reality as unbelievably ethnocentric not to see that technological progress is "broadened" under our very eyes. You would have to be discrepancy does no harm to either. On the one hand, the world has we can come across in a measured and circumspect way with regard were contemporary bedfellows. It believed in indissoluble bonds day, photography did not transform the relationships between the been considerably blurred. We now know that computer science, both are part of an ever-narrowing world. On the other hand, our far from being universal, and that the south of the planet, the so-The modernist theory of art postulated that art and technical means artist and his material. Only the ideological conditions of pictorial

<sup>1.</sup> Cornélius Castoriadis, La montée de l'insignifiance, Editions du Seuil, 1996.

Guggenheim Museum catalogue, 1995, p. 192.

<sup>3.</sup> Ibid., p. 73.

Michael Fried, "Art & Objecthood" in Gregory Battock, Minimal Art: a Critical Anthology, Dutton, NY, p. 127.

On this subject, see the works of Michel Maffesoli, in particular La Contemplation du monde, Editions Grasset, 1993.

Dave Hickey, The invisible dragon. Four essays on beauty, Art Issues Press, Los Angeles, 1995, p. 11.

<sup>7.</sup> Dave Hickey, op. cit, p. 17.

practice were affected, as can be seen with impressionism. Can we create a parallel between the emergence of photography and the present-day spread of screens in contemporary exhibitions? For our age is nothing if not the age of the screen.

It is odd, moreover, that one and the same word is thus used to describe both a surface that arrests light (in the cinema) and an interface on which information is written. This collusion of meanings points to the fact that epistemological upheavals (concerning new perceptual structures), stemming from the appearance of technologies as different as film, computers, and video, are brought together around a form (the screen, the terminal) which encapsulates their various properties and potentials. By failing to conceive of this compatibility at work within our mental apparatus to attain new ways of seeing, we are doomed to a mechanistic analysis of recent art history.

#### Art and Goods

#### The Law of Relocation

Art historians are prey to two major stumbling blocks. The first is idealism, which involves seeing art as an independent realm governed exclusively by its own laws. Regarding it, in other words, to use Althusser's expression, like a train whose provenance, destination and stops are known in advance. The second and opposite one involves a mechanistic conception of history which systematically deduces from any new technological apparatus a certain number of changes in ways of thinking. It is easy to see how the relationship between art and technology is considerably less systematic. The appearance of a major invention, photography, for example, clearly alters the relationship between artists and the world, on the one hand, and methods of representation as a whole, on the other. Some things now turn out to be of no use, but others finally become possible. In the case of photography, it is the function of

obsolete, whereas new viewing angles become legitimised (Degas' over the other media. On the other hand, we can say that art creates critical consciousness, worked on the basis of the possibilities caused a wide range of reactions among artists, from the adoption of alternately, helped by a speeding-up of exchanges. Every technical other in time, may nowadays occur either simultaneously or which, where photography is concerned, followed on one from the photography as an image-producing technique. These three attitudes abstract art). Then, in a third phase, artists would appropriate mechanical recording (matter, and gesture, which would give rise to issues on what it contains in terms of scaling things down to the impressionist. Subsequently, modern painting would focus its the real through the impact of light-grounds the pictorial practice of an awareness about production methods and human relationships way from the idea of asserting any kind of superiority of painting offered by new tools, but without representing them as techniques. thinking, however, came from artists who, far from giving up on their preservation, come what may, of the pictorial tradition (the "purist" predominant production methods (the "mec-art" of the sixties), to the innovation that has taken place since the Second World War has thus frames) and the operational method of the camera-the rendering of realistic representation which turns out to be more and more than putting up with it as an ideological instrument. interest to artists in so far as it puts effects into perspective, rather consequences they have on day-to-day life. Technology is only of makes them more visible, enabling us to see them right down to the produced by the technologies of its day, and that by shifting these, it went well beyond the shots of their contemporaries. We are a long Degas and Monet thus produced a photographic way of thinking that formalism championed by Clement Greenberg). The most fruitful

This is what we might call the *Law of Relocation*. Art only exercises its critical duty with regard to technology from the moment when it shifts its challenges. So the main effects of the computer revolution are visible today among artists who do not use computers. On the

relation to the production methods of their time. but also, and above all, inventing a coherent and fair work conduct in challenge of modernity: "Taking the eternal from the transitory", yes, producing conditions which are essentially changeable. This is the in manufacturing something that will last, based on general, lifeof technology, if you'll forgive the banality of this assertion, consists technology can by definition be improved, the work of art cannot operational realism which underpins many contemporary practices, The whole difficulty encountered by artists keen to embrace the state fundamental paradox that binds art and technology together: if the socio-economic arena'. At least this type of practice shows up the an object of contemplation, and its more or less virtual inclusion in definable as the artwork wavering between its traditional function as art/technology relationship is thus particularly suited to this work process of multinational companies much more effectively than if he merely portrayed them and described how they work. The weavers in Peshawar, Pakistan, working for him, he represents the social relations brought on by them. When Alighiero Boetti gets 500 production, but of introducing the gestural, and deciphering the no longer a question of depicting from without the conditions of representation is played out in behavioural patterns. These days, it is computer medium, and the representation of their own alienation worse still, the representation of a symbolic alienation from the from methods dictated by production. So the function of trap of illustration. At best, their work is just symptom or gadget, or by manipulating synthetic fractals and images, usually fall into the other hand, those who produce so-called "computer graphic" images

Technology as an ideological model

(from trace to programme)

colonial expansion and the streamlining of the work process), a given stage of development in the western economy (hallmarked by production-oriented relationships. Photography used to tally with a producer of goods, technology expresses the state

now possible to produce images which are the outcome of and attitudes are changing. With computer graphics, it is actually produces, is making an amazing contribution to the way mentalities rapid progress of user-friendly technologies, from France's our way of perceiving and processing data. On the other hand, the we might split into two branches. On the one hand, the computer the culture of our day and age is, needless to say, computing, which thinking, living and seeing creative. The technology reigning over reversing the authority of technology in order to make ways of into life possibilities, to borrow Nietzsche's term. Otherwise put, habits brought on by the technical-industrial complex to turn them tunction consists in appropriating perceptual and behavioural industrialisation process. In relation to this phenomenon, art's sites, all endowed the camera with a crucial role in the remote-control industrial tools and find out about potential mining management of overseas wealth (ethno-photography), the need to (the introduction of ID cards, and anthropometric record cards), the stage which, in a way, called for its invention. Population control by pure visual contamination. The contemporary image is typified no longer represents the trace of anything, unless it be that of a the movement of a body, but from a calculation2". The visible image impact", whereas "the digital image, for its part, results not from subject. For "the photo is the worked recording of a physical images, for their part, has no need of any analogous linkage to the drawing signs to wielding a camera. The existence of synthetic acquainted with are the result of a physical action, from the hand calculation, and no longer of human gestures. All the images we are the Internet, by way of touch screens and interactive video games. "Minitel" system [originally a computerised telephone directory] to itself and the changes it has introduced and still is introducing into human presence. Images "now function on their own" sequence of numbers, and its form is no longer the "terminal" of a The first, which affects Man's relationship with the images he Daney), like Joe Dante's Gremlins which reproduced themselves

might talk in terms of micro-utopias, and interstices opened up in universalist utopia were still on the agenda. In their respect, we naïve or cynical enough "to go about things as if" the radical and if a term with fewer connotations should be found), they are not with the bath water of modernity (we should stress this point, even the social corpus. these artists do indeed extend the idea of avant-garde thrown out works about "social sculpture" the way Beuys understood it. If the itineraries of those residing in it. This does not however involve human relations, the same way an architecture literally "produces" Huyghe all construct models of sociability suitable for producing Parreno, Carsten Höller, Henry Bond, Douglas Gordon, and Pierre relations. So exhibitions of the work of Rirkrit Tiravanija, Philippe that decade's art was largely grounded in the realm of inter-human sociability and interaction. The theoretical and practical horizon of exponential rate, artists were exploring the arcane mysteries of nineties' art, while interactive technologies developed at an oneself (Beuys) or to do something (Franz Erhard Walter). In the invented by Brecht and Filliou), an encouragement to be a creative carried out, a model to be reproduced (for example, the games across less as an independent reality than as a programme to be powerfully. In the midst of sixties' avant-garde art, the work came property of the digital image that informs contemporary art most precisely by its generative power; it is no longer a trace (retroactive), but a programme (active). Furthermore, it is this

These interstices work like relational programmes: world economies where there is a reversal in the relationships between work and leisure (Parreno's exhibition *Made on the 1st of May*, Cologne, May 1995), where everyone had a chance to come into contact with everybody else (Douglas Gordon), where people once again learnt what conviviality and sharing mean (Tiravanija's itinerant cafeterias), where professional relationships are treated like a festive celebration (the *Hôtel occidental* video by Henry Bond, 1993), where people are in permanent contact with the image

not come into the picture, exactly as in the digital image whose and not a maquette; in other words, the notion of dimension does of their work (Huyghe). The work thus proposes a functional model contemporary is wielded within limits circumscribed by this latter into formats other than the one for which they have been designed character of these latter, any more than the possible transcoding like digital images, but without guaranteeing the applicable notwithstanding. On the other hand, they make up programmes, and cinematic works, they do not claim to be reality, which they are indirectly inspired. As writings in and with the real today's artists have the same ambivalence as the techniques from virtualities material in x dimensions. Projects embarked upon by proportions may vary with the size of the screen, which-unlike the Otherwise put, the influence of technology on the art that is its frame-does not enclose works in a preordained format, but renders between the real and the imaginary.

The computer and the camera delimit production possibilities, which themselves depend on general conditions of social production, and tangible relationships existing between people. Based on this state of affairs, artists invent ways of living, or else create an awareness about a moment M in the assembly line of social behavioural patterns, making it possible to imagine a further state of our civilisation.

## The camera and the exhibition

#### The exhibition-set

As we can see, present-day art is being developed in-depth by ways of seeing and thinking which usher in computing, on the one hand, and the video camera on the other. To get a better grasp of the degree of relationship between this paired film/programme factor and contemporary art, we must come back to the evolution of the status of the art exhibition in relation to the objects it contains. Our

ongoing manipulation of its components, and the adaptation of elements making it up, Ozone introduced the possibility of an dodge the inevitability of reification by the functionality of the and above the "decor/set" according to Broodthaers, designed to of looking, and cut out viewing angles and bits of meaning. Over evolve like a camera, called upon to frame for themselves their way model, the space of a virtual darkroom within which viewers working avenues for our period, was thus presented as a and at the FRAC Corsica), which considerably opened up crucial and Philippe Parreno, and put on in 1989 at the APAC in Nevers considered as artwork (see also pink room and blue room)." This "photogenic space", that is, in accordance with a cinematographic by Dominique Gonzalez-Foerster, Bernard Joisten, Pierre Joseph ambiguity exemplified by almost all the artists of that generation maintained by this between exhibition value and user value, an anticipated the artistic activities of the 1990s, and the ambiguity function, in other words, the object here is no longer itself might be typified by the idea of the object reinstated with a real shown the previous year, as "the primer for the idea of DECOR than Torres to Jason Rhoades). The exhibition Ozone (devised in 1988 (from Fabrice Hybert to Mark Dion, and from Felix Gonzalezreification" which the artwork represented for him, brilliantly which enabled Broodthaers to stand up to the "tautology of "reinstatement" of the art object to the functional arena, a reversal exhibition-form in the sixties. What Marcel Broodthaers has done as plan of action, which permitted the development of the presented his green room, the latest version of the Winter Garden (the unitary mise-en-scène of objects). In 1975, Broodthaers for instance, is evidence of this shift from the exhibition-store individual work. It is the cinematographic model, not as subject but ideology ushered in by technologies, to the detriment of the which it is possible to conceive of relationships between art and hypothesis is that the exhibition has become the basic unit from (assembling noteworthy objects separately) to the exhibition-se

> conviviality and productivity which tipped the Belgian artist's Gallery, Cologne, 1991), where disposable cameras were placed at a "photogenic space" was subsequently emphasised with How We of relationships with the Other. This definition of the exhibition as things, the horizon of an art based on interactivity and the creation social criticism in the direction of new horizons: among other enabled the collector to put his own baggage together, user-friendly catalogues themselves. the Gallery entrance so that visitors could create their visual Gonna Behave (Joisten, Joseph & Parreno, at the Max Hetzler field", a "set of information strata" (which likens it to the made available to visitors), Ozone worked like an "iconographic accessories such as seats and documents for consultation were these to the life of their possible purchaser. Devised as a Broodthaers' model), while at the same time stressing values of "programme" giving rise to forms and situations (a "Bag" thus

a craftsmanship labelled as the most reactionary of the moment who transfer on to film (or computer) lines of thinking hailing from short-movie in which the viewer has to evolve by himself 4." The time span to be crossed, sequence by sequence, similar to a still on the accepted meaning of this term in photography) into a "directors' art", turning the exhibition/exposition venue (by playing How does film really inform art? By the way it handles the time activities than in those cobbled together synthetic images driven by in the rhizomes of the Ramo Nash Club and Douglas Gordon's blurred "artist's films", and much more computer graphic thinking Ruppersberg or Cartsen Höller show than in many, perforce. the 19th century. So there is much more film in an Allen film, contrary to what is maintained by the horde of opportunists be used in the other arts, thus has nothing to do with the form of the fate of the cinema (or computer science), as a technology that can itself as a spatial whole that can be scanned by the eye, but as a filmless camera, a "still short-movie": "The work does not (offer) In 1990, I tried to define these activities by talking about a

factor, by the "image-movements" (Deleuze) it produces. So, as Philippe Parreno writes, art forms "a space in which objects, images and exhibitions are split-seconds, scenarios that can be reenacted 5".

#### Extras

typifies the television era. The camera asks questions, records standing in front of the camera. A film is usually based on actors, or more actors called upon to fill a stage. So those living in screen presence is that of the summons, of the involvement of one visual recording, alter this passage? The classic form of the onsymbolic/practical Pierre Huyghe organises casting sessions, Miltos Manetas holds a inhabits video art: Henry Bond samples moments of sociability, movements, stays at pavement level. The ordinary humanoid resident is thus the poll, that random foray into the crowd that heavy film equipment. The predominant form of videographic regard to the material filmed-something that was not possible with to capture things out of doors, and permit an offhandedness with generation. As light and easy-to-handle tools, they make it possible announced by the invention of paint in tubes for the impressionist between the actor and the passer-by tends to diminish. It represents editing process to steal the player's body. With video, the difference replaces the audience by the camera6n, and enables the picture "The studio shot, wrote Walter Benjamin, is particular in that it those proletarian workers who rent their image as a work force. Warhol's factory were one after the other press-ganged into thus passes into art forms? How does video, the latest variant of accommodate them. What human flow, governed by what forms, the history of art using the peoples who pass through it, and the midst of what kind of scenery? One day, somebody ought to write the same development in relation to the film camera as that How do the actors and extras make their way across it, and in the The exhibition may have turned into a set, but who comes to act in it? structures which make it possible to

> a way that they produce a continuous sound-allegory of the opinion whistle into a Coca-Cola bottle, then edits these sequences in such discussion around a café table. The camera turns into an instrument role as the sketch played in the 19th century. It goes along with poll. In other respects, video plays the same heuristic, exploratory for questioning people. Gillian Wearing thus asks passers-by to Bulloch who records her journey from London to Genoa where she artists, such as Sean Landers who films from his car, Angela process, too, as with Cheryl Donegan who films herself producing between Guadalajara and Madrid. Information about the work has to put up a show, and Tiravanija, again, who films his trip up those acclaimed videotapes portraying a fireplace, an aquarium, man eating, oblivious to everything going an around him, conjures conference was going on, a TV set broadcasting the picture of a by the Italian group Premiata Ditta, placing on the table, where a be used as a reified replacement for presence. Hence the installation paintings. But the manoeuvrability of video also means that it can post-modern birds. or a "disco light". The grapes of Zeuxis are still just as green for

### Post VCR art

# Rewind/play/fast forward

The manoeuvrability of the video image is conveyed into the area where images and art forms are handled and manipulated. The basic operations we carry out with a VCR (rewind, hold, freeze frame, etc.) are now part of the array of aesthetic decisions of any artist. This applies to channel-flicking, for example. Like films, according to Serge Daney, exhibitions are becoming "disparate, zappable little programmes", where the visitor can make up his/her own itinerary. But probably the greatest change lies in the new approaches to time brought on by the presence of home video. As we have seen, the work of art is no longer presented as the mark of

conceptual art is already a factual, witnessing aesthetics, to do deconstructive mode of conceptual art. represented (Adorno) in which we live, in the casual and literal up this designation of the "completely administered world" with evidence and proof; recent activities are merely following video picture does not just happen, however. The aesthetics of the place to be directly grasped (I'm thinking of Beecroft, Peter the concreteness of a practice at times too dispersed and all over works like evidence. In art, it signifies and demonstrates reality. police) and the debate stemming from the Khaled Kelkal affair, "amateur", showing King being beaten up by the Los Angeles exhibition settings. Video, as we have noted just as much in the documentation on videotape. This forms the work's concentrated Land, Carsten Höller, Lothar Hempel). This artistic use of the legal domain (with the Rodney King assault, filmed by an focus, which may well become watered down by heterogeneous an action or a performance should end up becoming becoming. For it would seem quite normal, today, that a piece, (fast forward), just like the videos which they often end up possible to inlay other elements and transmit a different rhythm specific time spans which can be re-enacted, and on which it is Parreno's Arbre d'anniversaire, Vanessa Beecroft's living still, a frozen moment, but one that does not do away with the exhibition event has to update and revive. The work becomes a event, it is presented as a material time span which every Peintures homéopathiques, all are presented as unified and pictures (tableaux vivants) together with Fabrice Hybert's Personnages vivants à réactiver, together with Philippe just a few artists who have recently emerged, Pierre Joseph's category turns out to be by far the most numerous. To mention a past action, but as the announcement of a forthcoming event flow of gestures and forms from which it stems. This latter (the "trailer effect"), or the proposal of a virtual action'. In any by video, instead of the analytical

Towards a democratisation of viewpoints?

will be retained (from art) is what can be remade811... So Mike Kelley it explains Serge Daney's prediction about film: "The only thing that forms nomadic and fluid, encouraging the analogous reconstruction of a close eye on itself, continually recycling the forms it produces and hounded, by lenses, and bogged down in procedures whereby it keeps surveillance? Are they not also part of a world monitored, not to say video sessions. But do these latter not have something to do with electronic surveillance, the safety-oriented counterpoint to family also has an effect on our day-to-day life through the generalisation of production process (as a logical follow-on from photography), but it Video apparatus is part of the democratisation of the pictureunder surveillance. Our very cultural works are submitted for reenacted" by models, in soap opera sets (Fresh Acconci, 1995), and and Paul MacCarthy have had Vito Acconci's performances "reaesthetic objects of the past-"refills" of historicized forms. In doing so, handing them out again in different forms? Post VCR art renders reading/recycling, attesting to the ubiquity of optical instruments, and anyone to capture pictures of us. When we move about a city, we are Rear Window, in a Paris housing estate. But if video enables (more or Pierre Huyghe filmed a scene-by-scene remake of Alfred Hitchcock's closure mechanism which shut the visitor away in an empty room In a group show, the Danish artist Jens Haaning set up an automatic where everyone comes both to be seen and to see their own visibility settings, monitor screens), Julia Sher turns the exhibition into a space by Julia Sher, explores the police, and security, related dimension of by Julia programme, an artistic video surveillance project "directed" their current prevalence over any other production tool. The Security less) anyone to make a movie, it also makes it easier for (more or less) kind of action (in which, in no time, relations between artist and public camera. Over and above the obvious ethical problems posed by this transformed into the subject of the artist's eye, represented by the with just a video-spy in it. Caught like an insect, the beholder was the video camera. Playing on security iconography (grills, car-park

become sado-masochistic), we have no option but to note that after *Present continuous past(s)*. Dan Graham's extraordinary 1974 installation, which broadcast the picture of anyone venturing into it, but with a slight time lapse, the filmed visitor shifted from the status of a theatrical "character" caught in an ideology of representation to that of a pedestrian subjected to a repressive ideology of urban movement. The subject of the contemporary video is rarely free. This is because he/she collaborates in the great visual census, at once individual, sexual and ethnic, in which all forms of power agency in our society are currently indulging.

The future of art, as an instrument of emancipation, and as a political tool aimed at the liberation of forms of subjectivity, depends on the way artists deal with this issue. For art, no technique or technology is a subject. By putting technology in its productive context, by analysing its relations with the superstructure and the layer of obligatory behaviour underpinning its use, it becomes conversely possible to produce models of relations with the world, heading in the direction of modernity. Failing which, art will become an element of high tech deco in an increasingly disconcerting society.

# Towards a policy of forms

# Cohabitations Notes on some possible extensions of a relational aesthetics

### Visual systems

Eyes used to have to be raised towards the icon, which gave the divine presence a material form as an image.

In the Renaissance, the invention of centrist, monocular perspective turned the abstract beholder into a tangible, physical individual; the place allotted to him by the pictorial device likewise isolated him from others. Needless to say, everyone can look at the frescoes of Piero della Fransesca and Uccello from several viewpoints. But perspective singles out a symbolic place for the eye and gives the beholder his/her place in a symbolic social set-up.

Modern art altered this relationship by permitting many simultaneous ways of looking at pictures. But should we not be talking in terms of imports, since this manner of reading things existed already, in different forms, in Africa and the Orient?

Rothko and Pollock included in their work the need for a visual "envelope", for the picture was supposed to encompass, not to say submerge, the beholder in a chromatic ambience. We have often referred to the similarities existing between the "enveloping" effect of Abstract Expressionism and the effect sought by painters of icons. And in both cases it is an abstract humanity that is under consideration, cast

Nicolas Bouriaud, "Qu'est-ce que le réalisme opératif?", in the catalogue "Il faut construire l'hacienda", CCC Tours; and "Produire des rapports au monde", in the catalogue Aperto 93, Venice Biennial.

Pierre Lévy, "La Machine univers. Création, cognition et culture informatique" Editions Points-Seuil, 1987, p. 50.

<sup>3.</sup> M. Broodthaers, in the catalogue "L'angélus de Daumier", 1975

Nicolas Bourriaud, "Un art de réalisateurs", Art Press. no. 147, May 1990. The exhibition Courts-métrages immobiles was put on for the 1990 Venice Biennial.

Philippe Parreno, "Une exposition seruit-elle une exposition sans caméra?", Libération, 27 May 1995.

W. Benjamin, Essais II, Editions Denoël-Gonthier, 1983, p. 105.

Nicolas Bourriaud, "The Trailer Effect" in Flash Art, 1989.

<sup>8.</sup> Serge Daney, "Journal de l'an passé", Trafic, no.1, Winter 1991

whole into the pictorial space. Discussing this space enveloping the beholder in an ambience or constructed environment, Eric Troncy refers to an "all around" effect, as opposed to the "all over" style which can only be applied to flat surfaces.

## The image is a moment

A representation is just a moment M of the real. All images are moments, just as any point in space is both the memory of a time x, and the reflection of a space y. Is this temporal factor frozen, or to the contrary, is it a producer of potentialities? What is an image that does not contain any forthcoming development, any "life possibility", apart from a dead image?

## What artists show

So reality is what I talk about with a third party. It can only be defined as a product of negotiation. Escaping from reality is "mad". Somebody sees an orange rabbit on my shoulder, but I can't see it. So discussion weakens and shrinks. To find a negotiating space, I must *pretend* to see this orange rabbit on my shoulder. Imagination seems like a prosthesis affixed to the real so as to produce more intercourse between interlocutors. So the goal of art is to reduce the mechanical share in us. Its aim is to destroy any *a priori* agreement about what is perceived.

Similarly, meaning and sense are the outcome of an interaction between artist and beholder, and not an authoritarian fact. In modern art, I must, as beholder, make an effort to produce sense out of objects that are ever lighter, ever more impalpable and ever more volatile. Where the decorum of the picture used to offer a frame and a format, we must now often be content with bits and pieces. Feeling nothing means not making enough effort.

# The boundaries of individual subjectivity

What is fascinating about Guattari is his determination to produce subjectivizing machines, and mark out every manner of situation,

so as to fight against the "mass-media manufacturing" we are subject to, which is a levelling device.

The reigning ideology would have the artist be a loner, imagining him solitary and irredentist: "writing is always done alone", "we have to take refuge behind the world", blah, blah, blah... This rather naïve imagery muddles two quite different notions: the artist's refusal of the communal rules currently in force, and the refusal of the collective. If we must reject all manner of imposed communalism, it is precisely to replace it by invented relational networks.

According to Cooper, madness is not "inside" a person, but in the system of relationships of which that person is involved. People don't become "mad" all on their own, because we never think all on our own, except for postulating that the world has a centre (Bataille). No one writes or paints alone. But we have to make the pretence of so doing.

# The engineering of intersubjectivity

The nineties saw the emergence of collective forms of intelligence and the "network" mode in the handling of artistic work. The popularisation of the Internet web, as well as the collectivist practices going on in the techno music scene, and more generally the increasing collectivisation of cultural leisure, have all produced a relational approach to the exhibition. Artists look for interlocutors. Because the public is always a somewhat unreal entity, artists will include this interlocutor in the production process itself. The sense of the work issues from the movement that links up the signs transmitted by the artist, as well as from the collaboration between people in the exhibition space. (After all, reality is nothing other than the passing result of what we do together, as Marx put it).

# An art with no effects?

These relational artistic practices have been repeatedly criticised. Because they are restricted to the space of galleries and art centres,

down form of social critique. against relational art is that it supposedly represents a watered communicating within an alienated social space, in favour of an Things are not this straightforward. The principal argument held Conceptual Art for perpetrating an angelic view of meaning? because it reproduces codes of visual alienation? Do we criticise limited to the art world. But do we deny the interest of Pop Art illusory and elitist modelling of forms of sociability, by being dispute, differences and divergences, and the impossibility of meaning. They are also reproached for denying social conflict and they contradict the desire for sociability underpinning their

in other words, propagandist art. judge the social and political content of a relational "work" by nothing more than a phonily utopian pantomime, as was not so very purely and simply shedding its aesthetic value, which would be to projection of the symbolic into the real). It would be absurd to experience of spaces constructed and represented by the artist, the long ago being advocated by the champions of a "committed" art the liking of those who see in a Tiravanija or Carsten Höller show the "criterion of co-existence", to wit, the transposition into history, and bearing in mind the political value of forms (what I call proposals has to be judged in a formal way: in relation to art What these critics overlook is that the content of these artistic

a space-time frame encoded by the art system, and by the artist relationships in effect, but it does distort them and project them into activity assembly line. So the exhibition does not deny the social show Made on the 1st of May (1995), the hub of which was a leisure and shifts the forms of this alienation-as in the Philippe Parreno to the alienation reigning everywhere else. At times it reproduces do not represent alienation, which do not extend the division of labour into forms. The exhibition is an interstice, defined in relation form of art. They are aimed at the formal space-time constructs that For these approaches do not stem from a "social" or "sociological"

> artificiality of the moment of conviviality on offer. To my eye, this see a form of naïve animation, and lament the slightness and available to visitors, and the fleeting image issuing from collective complex form that combines a formal structure, objects made conviviality, but the product of this conviviality, otherwise put, a would be mistaking the object of the practice. For the purpose is not him/herself. In a Tiravanija exhibition, for example, it is possible to representing angelic worlds, but of producing the conditions thereof. its exhibition value, within a visual project. It is not a matter of behaviour. In a way, the user value of conviviality intermingles with

# The political development of forms

organisation etc. ). created and popularised several types of sociability. The assembly passes it on into day-to-day life. The revolutionary culture has become material. For form produces and shapes sense, steers it, and awaiting forms capable of embodying it, and thus of enabling it to Our day and age is certainly not short of political projects, but it is the strike and its various devices (banners, tracts, spatial (soviets, agoras), the sit-in, the demonstration and its processions,

after the opening; computer bugs seizing up thousands of software wakefulness; exhibitions on view for a whole day, and packed away lasting several days, thus extending the concept of sleep and December 1995, where time is differently organised. Free parties Ours explores the realm of stasis: crippling strikes, like the one in

systems simultaneously...

machinery, and the freeze-frame Our age acquires its political effectiveness in the freezing of

social form: it is the spread of the supplier/client relations to every tacit contracts which define our private life. level of human life, from work to dwelling-place by way of all the The enemy we have to fight first and foremost is embodied in a

French society is all the more affected because it suffers from a twofold block: national institutions are short on democracy, and the global economy is trying to force on it methods of reification which trickle into every aspect of life.

The relative failure of May' 68 in France can be seen in the low level of institutionalisation where freedoms are concerned.

The widespread failure of modernity can be found here through the way inter-human relations are turned into products, along with the impoverishment of political alternatives, and the devaluation of work as a non-economic value, to which no development of free time corresponds.

Ideology exalts the solitude of the creative person and mocks all forms of community.

Its effectiveness consists in promoting the isolation of authors by cloaking them in a smart-product extolling their "originality", but ideology is invisible: its form is being form-free. Phoney multiplicity is its greatest trick: the range of possibilities is abridged every day, while the names describing this impoverished reality proliferate.

# Rehabilitating experimentation

Who are we trying to kid that it might be helpful and beneficial to stage a return to aesthetic values based on tradition, mastery of technology, and respect for historical conventions? If there is an area where chance does not exist, it is indeed the realm of artistic creation\*: when we want to kill off democracy, we start by muzzling experiments, and we end up by accusing freedom of having rabies.

# Relational aesthetics and constructed situations

The Situationist "constructed situation" concept is intended to replace artistic representation by the experimental realisation of artistic energy in everyday settings. If Guy Debord's diagnosis about the process of producing spectacles may strike us as harsh.

the Situationist theory overlooks the fact that if the spectacle deals first and foremost with forms of human relations (it is "a social relationship between people, with imagery as the go-between"), it can only be analysed and fought through the production of new types of relationships between people.

co-existence with my fellow men. It is possible to imagine of production (capitalism). Work time is thus less an not represent exchange in absolute terms, but an historical form is not the exchange per se, which is a factor of life and time" turns out, here, to be purely negative: the negative element spectacle "to a more intense degree"? The idea of "exchangeable which imitates natural cycles while at the same time being a equivalent intervals") and the "consumable time" of holidays. basis of a figure of exchange. Is it by coincidence that Debord necessarily correspond to a relational world, formulated on the relationship with the world. The constructed situation does not relationship with the other, at the same time as it represents a relationship with the Other. Artistic practice is always a place and action, in a theatre that does not necessarily involve a barring others. The idea of "situation" extends the unity of time, "constructed situations" for private use, and even intentionally The fact is that the idea of situation does not necessarily imply a accumulation of capital (the employer) and the available work sociability. What Debord identifies, possibly wrongly, with the divides the time of the spectacle into two, between the and reconciles it, as far as it is possible, with the art world. "relational world", and a social interstice, updates Situationism the form of a salary or wage-packet. The work that forms a "exchangeable time" in the fullest sense, than a buyable time in force (the employee-worker), in the form of a contract. They do These forms of exchange stem from the "meeting" between the inter-human exchange are the capitalist forms of exchange. "exchangeable time" of work ("endless accumulation of

### The aesthetic paradigm (Félix Guattari and art)

a more general subject matter. and one or two passages in his major works, incorporated within properly so-called, apart from the paper for a lecture on Balthus. development of his thinking, he has written very little about art, granted a predominant place to the "aesthetic paradigm" in the the practitioner in La Borde's psychiatric clinic has always provided that it can be given a permanent transcoding. For while potential aesthetics, which only assumes a real consistency different systems. What is thus involved is the definition of a supple, so much so that they can be translated into many enjoys a special place. Guattari's concepts are ambivalent and productive agencies, with art being just one of these, even if it one hand, and the socius on the other are constructed on boundaries of this or that type of utterance. The psyche on the undertaking. Over and above genres and categories, he wrote: difference informs the very spirit of his philosophical effective contribution to a changing production of statement "The important thing is to know whether a work makes an of living matter rather than a category of thought, and this specifically with the issue of aesthetics. Art, for him, was a form (production d'énonciation)", and not to delimit the specific not form a set of clear-cut pieces, with a sub-set dealing Félix Guattari's work, cut short by his untimely passing, does

This aesthetic paradigm is nevertheless being practised already in writing itself. The style, if we may use this word, or let us rather say the Guattari scriptorial flow, encompasses every concept in a raft of images. The processes of thought are usually described here as physical phenomena, endowed with a specific texture-drifting "plates" and dovetailed "planes", "machinery", and so on. Serene materialism, where, to be effective, concepts

must assume the finery of tangible reality, and become territorialized on images. Guattari's writing is informed by an obvious visual and plastic, not to say sculptural concern, yet appears to be little bothered by syntactical clarity. At times, Guattari's language may seem obscure. This is because he does not shrink from coming up with neologisms ("nationalitarian", "ritournellize") and portmanteau words, or using English and German terms as they spring to mind and flow from his pen. Nor does he shrink from embarking on propositions with regard for the reader, or juggling with the lesser meanings of an ordinary word. His phrasing is thoroughly oral, chaotic, "wild and outrageous" (délirant), off-the-cuff and littered with deceptive short-cuts, quite unlike the conceptual order that presides over the writings of accomplice and fellow Gilles Deleuze.

affecting this operation may be, to proceed to a kind of grafting extreme particularness, and the attention it pays to the authored writings, from Anti Oedipus (1972) to What is seem easier to acknowledge his specific contribution to the cohe is often reduced to the role of Deleuze's foil, yet it does today question, henceforth, of thinking about art with Guattari, and creating a "polyphonic interlacing", rich in possibilities. It is a of Guattari's thinking in the domain of present-day art, thus increasingly useful, whatever the degree of arbitrariness the current dearth of aesthetic thought, it thus seems to us to be productive machinery with which present-day art is riddled. In works, Félix Guattari's thinking links up right away with the louder in the contemporary philosophical debate. Through its Guattari signature stands out quite clearly, ringing out ever masterful passages dealing with types of subjectivization, the Philosophy? (1991)... From the "ritournelle" concept to the Guattari may still seem significantly under-estimated to us, and with the toolbox he has bequeathed us. "production of subjectivity" and its preferred vehicles, the

# Subjectivity pursued and produced

# De-naturalising subjectivity

New forms of subjectivization are created the same way that a economy of trade. There is nothing less natural than subjectivity theorisation of its inclusion in the framework of the general of subjectivity, its deployment in the area of production, and the thinking as a colossal undertaking involving the de-naturalisation existence in general. This is where we can define Guattari's terrain for this individuation, providing potential models for human individuation still to be won. Artistic practice forms a special the end purpose of subjectivity is nothing other than an a line of thought and an "invention of life possibilities" (Nietzsche): category of global production. Uprooting fetishism to assert art as defined as a process of non-verbal semiotization, not as a separate socius. Which, incidentally, is what defines the field of vocabulary subjectivity defines his conception of art, and art's value, from start connected, two operational systems, two preferred tool systems, visual artist creates now forms from the palette at his disposal There is also nothing more constructed, formulated and worked on used to describe artistic activity. In it there is no hint of the action can freely pitch in, and soar off in pursuit of the laws of the plays the role of a fulcrum around which forms of knowledge and to finish. In the Guattari order of things, subjectivity as production even going so far as to make it the keystone of the social edifice fetishization that is common in this level of discourse. Art, here, is Civilisation"... The pivotal position given by Guattari to which are joined together in the possible solution to the "Malaise of Psychoanalysis and art? Two sorts of subjectivity production, intertogether again, exploring its constituents and escape modes, and mechanisms and systems of subjectivity and putting them back research. He would devote his life to dismantling the tortuous The idea of subjectivity is certainly the main thread of Guattari's

> aesthetics would be incomprehensible if we did not underline his similarities with artistic activity. Guattari's contribution to ideologies and categories of thought, a creation that shows many agencies within the system of collective facilities formed by the contrary to the phenomenological plans with which humanist disconcerting because the non-human is an intrinsic part of them, and existential territories in the process of being formed. They are disconcerting shores with their proliferation of mechanistic devices his earmarked domain, the sacrosanct subject, and tackle the effort to de-naturalize and deterritorialize subjectivity, expel it from What matters is our capacity to create new arrangements and at the heart of human subjectivity2". We must thus learn to "seize, in terms of subjectivity. Wherever this system holds sway, the more henceforth possible to decipher the entirety of the capitalist system thinking is riddled. Proliferation, because it turns out to be of the powers that be. transformed into a rigid collective apparatus at the exclusive service enhance and reinvent" subjectivity, for otherwise we shall see it technological machines of information and communication operate arrayed under the general heading of collective facilities, so the immediate interests. For "just like the social machines that can be forcefully it is caught in its nets, and kidnapped on behalf of its

# Status and operation of subjectivity

This declaration of the *de facto* naturalisation of human subjectivity is an input of paramount importance. Phenomenology wielded it as the unsurpassable symbol of reality, beyond which nothing can exist, whereas structuralism saw in it at times something superstitious, and at others the effect of an ideology.

Here Guattari offers a complex and dynamic reading, contrasting with the deification of the subject which is common currency in the phenomenological vulgate, but just as impervious to the fossilisation being brought about by the structuralists, by placing it at the crossroads of the interplay of signifiers. We might say that

Guattari's method consists in *bringing to boil* the structures fixed by Lacan, Althusser and Lévi-Strauss: by replacing the static order by structural analyses, and the "slow movements" of Braudelian history by the novel, dynamic and undulatory linkages which matter takes on when it is reorganised by the effect of heat. Guattari's subjectivity is determined by a chaotic order, and no longer, as it was the case for the structuralists, by the quest for cosmoses hidden beneath everyday institutions. "A certain balance still has to be found between structuralist discoveries, which are certainly considerable, and their pragmatic management, so as not to remotely founder in social post-modern abandonism\*".

alienated and dependent on a mental superstructure, and point to Guattari does is indicate the degree to which subjectivity is work on an emancipation of man within the world of labour. All demonstration of economic alienation by Marx enables him to invention of particular agencies. Real individuation proceeds by way of the going beyond the traditional notion of ideology. Only a mastery of of sociability that Guattari can call on its "re-singularization" doing away with the bonds that make it the natural attribute of this the "collective agencies" of subjectivity makes it possible to invent territory of the subjective to the regulatory impersonal machinery beyond the limits of the individual. But it is by extending the The first consists in unsticking the subjectivity of the subject, and and not artificially "cooled", the better to single out the structures... at its proper temperature, at the heat of inter-human relationships. liberation possibilities latter. So a mapping of it has to be drawn which spills considerably This chaotic urgency gives rise to a certain number of operations This balance only comes about provided that the socius is observed eco-mental recycling devices, just as the

This Marxist backdrop turns out to be readable even in the terms whereby Guattari defines subjectivity: "All the conditions making it possible for individual and/or collective agencies to be in a

to or in a relation of delimitation with an otherness that is itself position to emerge as sui-referential existential Territory, adjacent except on the basis of the other territories it comes across; as an subjective4". Otherwise put, subjectivity can only be defined by the evolving formation, it is modelled on the difference which forms it presence of a second subjectivity. It does not form a "territory" explains, cannot exist in an independent way, and in no case can it Guattari inflicts on philosophical economy. Subjectivity, he definition of subjectivity that we find the perspective tremor that itself, on the principle of otherness. It is in this plural, polyphonic ground the existence of the subject. It only exists in the pairing informational machines5". Involved here is decisive, dazzling consisted in defining the crux of man as "the set of social relations", intuition. If the force of Marx's impact, in his Theses on Feuerbach, mode: association with "human groups, socio-economic machines, are created between the individual and the vehicles of subjectivity Guattari, for his part, defined subjectivity as the set of relations that subjectivity of the subject was sought, and we find it, permanently inhuman. This is a decisive breakthrough: the essence of the he comes across, be they individual or collective, human or off-centre, caught in "a-significant semiotic systems"... Here, signifier reigns supreme in structuralist references. Just as in the Lévi-Strauss forest, the Guattari shows himself to be still reliant on the world of and foremost, the cultural environment ("family, education, territories" with which the individual can identify. What are the provides as much by the score, serving to construct "minimum subconscious"6. The "production of collective subjectivity" fluid signifiers that make up the production of subjectivity? First gadgets, spare parts of the subjective machinery... And last of all environment, religion, art, sport"); then, cultural consumerism semiological, a-linguistic chord of contemporary subjectivity, by the set of informational machinery, which forms the a-("things made by the media and film industry, etc."), ideological Guattari's "machine-like

subjectivity thus provides aesthetics with an operational paradigm, three decades which is in return legitimised by the practice of artists over the past when it comes to describing modern art. Guattari's version of trade", of the obsolescence of the Romantic models still in force Guattari to become aware, before most people in the "aesthetics associated with a global system. His thinking on ecology also led with the art thing: it is just one field of sensibility among others stemming from a unifying ecology, defines Guattari's relationship handle existence like a network of interdependent factors, detached from all other production relations. This determination to just like problems connected with the environment cannot be operations, it cannot be separated from all the other social relations. the outcome of dissensus, of gaps and differences, of alienating the sieve of a "mental ecosophy". Individual subjectivity is thus behaving'. From this angle, social productions must be put through helping, too, to withstand the uniformization of thinking and fantasy, to time passing, to the 'mysteries' of life and death", and formed from the processing of the products of this machinery: as territories", as tools helping to invent new relations "to the body, to happens, in incorporating these signifiers in personal "existential produce meanings". The process of singularisation consists, as it "operating in tandem with or independently of the fact that they

## Subjectivization units

If Kant admitted landscapes and all natural forms in the field of applied aesthetics, we know that Hegel reined in this domain by reducing it exclusively to that specific class of objects formed by works of the mind. Romantic aesthetics, from which we may very well not have really emerged<sup>8</sup>, postulates that the work of art, as a product of human subjectivity, expresses the mental world of a subject. During the 20th century, many theories discussed this Romantic version of creation, but without ever totally toppling its foundations. Let us mention the work of Marcel Duchamp, whose

of responsibility towards the real. Or, alternatively, the generalised merely selecting a mass-produced object and incorporating it in a growth and mould on the same footing as those originating from a aesthetics of Roger Caillois, who put forms produced by accident, personal linguistic system, thus redefining the artist's role in terms anthems about the "death of the author". For Guattari, a phoney divine inspiration, but they do not tally with those structuralist operator of meaning, rather than a pure "creator" relying on cryptorefusing the Romantic idea of genius and depicting the artist as an project'. Guattari's theses may head in the same direction, by disappearance are of no importance: "Devices for producing collectivisation. Because the individual does not have a monopoly problem is involved here. It is the processes of subjectivity and its mercantile system, has become truly null and void. Only a between individual and society, which informs artistic role-playing scale of an individual's linguistic games 10m. The Romantic contrast subjectivity may exist in the scale of megalopolis as well as on the on subjectivity, the model of the Author and his alleged production which need redefining 'ready-mades" reduced the author's own action or interference to tower" myth allocated to the artist by the Romantic ideology. It is exchanges with social movements, unhinging the mental "ivory describe the "mutation" under way: Duchamp, Rauschenberg, figure of the author in favour of that of the artist-cum operator, may exclusive form of its distribution, turning it into a commodity). artistic economy the exchange mechanisms of subjectivity (an within the sphere of work. The signature, which seals into the throughout the 20th century, came with an upsurge of the work not haphazard if the gradual dematerialization of the artwork Beuys and Warhol all constructed their work on a system of "transversalist" conception of creative operations, lessening the implies a loss of "polyphony", of that rough form of subjectivity fragmentation. In Chaosmosis, in order to lament its loss, Guattar represented by many-voiceness, in favour of a sterilising, reifying with a view to their

93

refers to a practice current in archaic societies which consists in giving a large number of proper names to one and the same individual.

and style, guarantors of the goods? consensual illusion, the accredited guardians of which are signature on their own behalf<sup>13</sup>", only appear unified by the effect of a "components of subjectivization", which "each work more or less terms of the creative subject, the author and his mastery, when the muddle between subjectivity and style. But can we still talk in acts as a "unifier of states of consciousness", producing a calculated artist is defined, first and foremost, as a subject whose signature coiled around a single principle, its style. The modern, western usually introduced as the conductor of manual and mental faculties style. Endowed with the authority of the signature, the artist is artistic practices, this fact causes the total collapse of the notion of accordance with an unambiguous causality<sup>12</sup>." When applied to predominant agency of determination steering other agencies in illusory units of psychic life. "It is not familiar with any contrary, it develops it by cuts, segmenting and dismembering the fact that subjectivity does not stem from any homogeneity. On the which "offer a person the possibility of getting back together as an subjectivization which bind heterogeneous arenas together. These existential corporeity, and becoming particular once again" in the blocks, "individual - group - machine - multiple exchanges"" Polyphony is nevertheless restored at another level, in these sets of framework of a psychoanalytical therapy. Suffice it to accept the

The Guattari subject is made up of independent plates, linking up with different pairings drifting towards heterogeneous fields of subjectivisation. The "Integrated World Capitalism" [IWC] described by Guattari only cares about the "existential territories" which it is art's mission to produce. Through the exclusive enhancement of the signature, a factor of behavioural homogenisation and reification, it can carry on in its role, i.e. transforming these territories into products. Otherwise put,

the repetition of reified "making" but the "movement of thought"? ecological transformation of subjectivities, without an awareness of of social relations. Nothing is possible without a far-reaching Guattari argument proceeds from this preliminary, inner modelling differentness, before moving it over into the social. The whole articulating particular worlds and rare life forms; cultivating per se processes". This is the primary principle of mental ecosophy: of subjectivity with the need to involve the being in "heterogenetic Guattari contrasts the homogenisation and standardisation of types bill. And what if real style, as Deleuze and Guattari write, were not wherever art proposes "life possibilities", IWC presents us with the such, it links up most of the century's avant-gardes, which called a total revolution, postulating that nothing could change in the for a joint transformation of attitudes and social structures. the various forms of founding interdependence of subjectivity. As of modern artistic utopias. under the aegis of an "aesthetic paradigm" likely to link up the plea for the "Three Ecologies" (environmental, social, and mental) infrastructure (the devices of production) if the superstructure Dadaism, Surrealism, and the Situationists, all thus tried to promote various human claims and challenges, thus lies in the mainstream (ideology) were not likewise far-reachingly refashioned. Guattari's

# The aesthetic paradigm

The critique of scientistic paradigm

In Guattari's "schizoanalytical" world, aesthetics has a place all of its own. It represents a "paradigm", a flexible agency capable of operating on several levels and on differing planes of knowledge. And, first and foremost, as the pedestal that enables it to propound its "ecosophy"; as a subjectivity-producing model; as an instrument used for enriching psychiatric and psychoanalytical practice. Guattari calls upon aesthetics to offset

inventing, and manufacturing concepts 1511 given by Deleuze/Guattari to philosophy, "the art of forming rhetoric... So it should come as no surprise to read the definition Thought originates from an art, which is not synonymous with academy14". The only thing that matters is the "Work in progress" foundations or the authority of a group, school, conservatory or authors managing to lay claim to guaranteed theoretical innovate, and usher in forward-looking openings, without their which the task of each concrete performance is to evolve same should go [...] for painting and literature, areas within collective amenity"... Permanent revolution in method? "The certainties. The subconscious itself is likened to an "Institution, a analytical practices in formulae. What he has against the "psy people" is the way they turn towards the past by manipulating Freudian and Lacanian concepts as so many insurmountable the hegemony of the "scientistic superego", which lays down

contemporaries the features that suit him, so I invite those who as an artist: "just as an artist borrows from his precursors and his contaminate every chord of discourse, and inoculate the venom of a form of scientific scepticism. For him, theories and concepts henceforth be on the agenda is the clearance of 'futuristic' and knowledge. Denial of claimed scientific "neutrality": "what will creative uncertainty and outrageous invention in every field of scientificity, as stated by Popper, is falsifiability, is it not? and no certainty is irrevocable. The primary criterion of merely have the value of "models of subjectivization", inter alia, sciences and the social sciences from scientistic paradigms to whole of science and technology based on an "aesthetic read me to freely accept and reject my concepts 'constructivist' fields of virtuality on Portrait of the psychoanalyst According to Guattari, the aesthetic paradigm is called upon to ethical-aesthetic paradigms", he explains. An intent that is akin to paradigm". "My intention consists in conveying the human In a more general way, it was Guattari's intent to reshape the

Ritournelle, symptom and work

Like Nietzsche's aesthetics, from which Guattari's broadly originate, the latter only considers the creator's viewpoint. In it there is no sign of considerations to do with aesthetic reception, apart from those pages dealing with the notion of "ritournelle". It takes for example the fact of looking at television. For switching on the TV set is to expose "your feeling of personal identity" to temporary break-up. The TV viewer thus exists at the crossroads of several subjective nodes: the "perceptual fascination" caused by electronic image scanning; the "capture" obtained by narrative content, enlivened by perceptive "parasites" happening in the room, the telephone, for example; and lastly, the "world of fantasies" aroused by the programme, perceived as an "existential motif" working like an "attractor" within the "perceptible and significational chaos".

looks at, a prelude to the formation of an "existential territory". of "interpreting and assessing"... The "existential motifs" offered outlining texts in the chaos of the world. In other words, in the act compared with the thoroughly Nietzschean activity that consists in life: a matter of repercussion and ricochet.. As a pure "clash accumulation of psychic energy on a "motif", with a view to action. thermodynamic process, a phenomenon of condensation and kind of "suspension of the will" (Schopenhauer), but rather as a Here again, contemplation of form comes across not as any old Plural subjectivity here is "ritournellized", "caught" by what it components of subjectivity and guide them. Art is the thing upon between a will and a material1811, art, for Guattari, might be Art fixes energy, and "ritournellizes" it, diverting it from everyday provides the most conclusive example, is neurosis, in which the single point. The opposite of this condensation, for which art light spots are brought together to form a beam, and light up a and around which subjectivity can reform itself, the way several for aesthetic contemplation, in a broad sense, catch the different

"ritournelle", hallmarked by fluidity, "hardens" into obsession; but psychosis, too, which makes the personality implode by making the "partial components" leave subjectivity "in hallucinatory, delirious lines! "... Which suggests to us that the *object* itself is neurotic: unlike the fluidity of "ritournellization", whose successive crystallisations bounce on supple partial objects, neurosis "hardens" whatever it touches. Integrated capitalism, which turns existential territories into goods and shunts subjective energy towards products, thus functions in neurotic mode. It produces an "immense void in subjectivity", a "machine-like solitude." rushing into spaces left vacant by the desertification of direct trading areas. A void which can only be filled by drawing up a new contract with the inhuman, i.e. the machine.

qualificative changes". If the analyst's role consists in "creating stops being suffered; it is worked, oriented, as the object of mechanisms of the psyche. In analysis as in artistic activity, "time describes art in immaterial terms the better to materialise the a work of art, and the psychoanalyst to an artist"... Except that both psychic life are interwoven in the same agencies. Guattari only can only be founded by his subjectivity. In any event, art and individual; suppression of forms of dissent and disagreement that that violence wielded by the capitalist system towards the deal with the same subjective material, which must be brought times pushed very far, Guattari fights shy of "likening psychosis to example, an obsessive ritual". But if the analogy between the sick of subjectivization. Art is never that far removed from the symptom, of partial healing emerges to re-form the shattered picture of forms forward in order to "heal" the disastrous effects of homogenisation, patient's assumption of independence and artistic creation is at ritournelle "is embodied in a 'hardened' representation, for ritournelle from the moment when it is repeated", when the but does not overlap with it. This latter "operates like an existential the cure for which forms the distant horizon. Invariably, the method Guattari's thinking is organised around an analytical perspective.

mutant foci of subjectivization", the formula might easily be applied to artists.

The work of art as partial object

a matter of a "passively representative image", otherwise put, a exclusive, even if it offers the model of that "pathic knowledge" subjectivity". Here again, the work of art cannot claim anything up again" to other possibilities: that of an "operator of junctions in or "shifter", capable of deterring our perception before "hooking it within which the image takes on the role of subjectivization vector product. The work gives a material quality to existential territories, The work of art is only of interest to Guattari insomuch as it is not concept earmarks the moment when the "matter of expression" psycho-ecological area of vocabulary for which he has a soft spot. problem that bestirs us to exceed ourselves is beautiful") into the Nietzsche, transposing the vitalism of the German philosopher ("A contemplation of the artwork. Guattari prowls in the vicinity of possible provided that we do not see mere delight in the experience of the time span"... This type of knowledge is only which is the particular feature of aesthetics, that "non-discursive between author and beholder. becomes "formally creative"21, a split-second in the telltale passage In aesthetic contemplation he thus sees a process of 'subjectivization transfer". Borrowed from Mikhail Bakhtine, this

Here, Guattari's postulates turn out to be very akin to those uttered by Marcel Duchamp in his famous 1954 Houston lecture on "the creative process"<sup>22</sup>: the beholder is the joint creator of the work, venturing into the mysteries of creation by way of the "coefficient of art", which is the "difference between what [the artist] had planned to make and what he did". Duchamp described this phenomenon in terms not unlike those of psychoanalysis: it is indeed a question of a "transfer" of which "the artist is in no way aware", and the reaction of the beholder in front of the work occurs in a kind of "aesthetic osmosis which takes place through the inert matter: colour, piano, marble, etc." This *transitional* theory of the

strategies of existence. Here we are bordering on the limits of the simple surfaces, volumes and devices, which are dovetailed within definition of artistic activity proposed by Deleuze and Guattari in corresponding with categories of mastery and types of products, but of the masterpiece in the system of conceptual mastery. These works existence, instead of endowing it with the traditional independence affects"... For how could the very idea of a partial object referring What is Philosophy: "knowledge of the world through percepts and are no longer paintings, sculptures or installations, all terms partial objects incorporate the work in the continuum of a device of from a hyper-inflational system of imagery. These strategies for identities... Such are the procedures of present-day art, stemming socialised and historicized forms, invention of collective methods today: sampling of pictures and data, recycling now behalf" perfectly describes the most widespread artistic production collective subjective production so as to start "working on its own aesthetic partial object as "semiotic segment" separate from the development of art forms in a very fruitful way: the theory of the possible to "foster new fields of reference". This definition embraces "partial enunciator", whose assumption of autonomy makes it subconscious23. Here, the aesthetic object acquires the status of a a "relative subjective autonomization", like object a in the Lacanian this latter as a "partial object", which derives advantage solely from questioning addressed at the work's independence. Guattari defined closed entities. This aesthetic fluidity cannot be detached from a classical aesthetic perception, exercised on finished objects and vanishing points. The work is the opposite of the buffer defined by ingredients of subjectivity, and redistributes them towards new the aesthetic way of looking that crystallises around it the different doesn't halt the eye. It's the spellbinding, para-hypnotic process of clinging to heterogeneous "existential territories". The work of an whose component parts operate, as we have seen, by temporarily pedestal for his own hunches about the fluid nature of subjectivity, work of art was taken up by Guattari, who turned it into the

to a singularisation movement of the heterogeneous ingredients of subjectivity bring on an idea of totality: "the partial enunciator" that forms the work of art does not depend on a specific category of human activity, so how could it be limited to this particular arrangement suggested by the level of "affects" and "percepts"? To be fully an artwork, it must also put forward concepts necessary for the working of these affects and percepts, as part of a total experience of thought. For want of such, the categorisation fought against by function is inevitably reformed at the level of the materials that ground thought. So it would seem to be more sound, in the light of Guattari's writings themselves, to define art as a construction of concepts with the help of percepts and affects, aimed at a knowledge of the world...

# For an artistic, ecosophic practice

ecosophic practice, geared to ideas of globalness and question of re-forming a lost political territory, lost by being riven organised and very "absorbent", for the exercise of subjectivity. All which used to mistakenly divide the social, the private and the civil underplayed. Ecosophy may claim "to replace the old ideologies on operational methods of subjectivity hitherto painstakingly interdependence, aims to re-form these existential territories based given rise to an immense void in subjectivity which is tending to and collective existential Territories, the contemporary period has Capitalism". "By exacerbating the production of material and by the deterritorializing violence of "Integrated between the environment, the social and subjectivity. It is a The ecosophic fact consists in an ethical-cum-political articulation of a denial of the independence (and thus of the sectorization) the more so because contemporary art has developed in the sense insofar as it provides a "plane of immanence"25, at once highly into sectors." From this angle, art is still a valuable auxiliary, become more and more absurd and without recourse24m. And immaterial goods, to the detriment of the consistency of individual

given it by the formalist theories of "modernism", of which Clement Greenberg was the prime advocate.

simple reason that they had reached a limit in other realms. Marcel spirits behind the Fluxus movement, Robert Filliou said that art suggests that it had an essentially technological and cultural "sectorization", to "aestheticize a cave art in which everything corporatist subjectivity that leads us, for example, into a reflex of "corporatist subjectivity" that is in the end quite recent, a this astonishment in front of this fragmentation of knowledge, this corporate body. The experience of the clinic accounts for a lot in readily criticises art as a specific activity, conducted by a particular modern art to embrace the most varied of production systems. He Guattari seems to have recorded these shifts, this capacity of and Joseph Beuys found a way of pursuing politics in form. Broodthaers thus found a way of carrying poetry on in imagery; of the last three decades only arrived in the realm of art for the cannot find their place in their natural bed. So many forceful works offers an immediate "right of asylum" to all deviant practices which concepts, a zone of forms of hybridisation. As one of the driving Nowadays, art is not defined as a place that imports methods and

The exhibition *Primitivism in 20th Century Art*, recently held at the MoMA in New York, thus fetishizes "formal, formalist and in the end rather superficial correlations", between works that are wrenched out of their context, "on the one hand tribal, ethnic and mythical, on the other cultural, historical and economic". The root of artistic practice lies in the production of subjectivity; it matters little what the specific production method may be. But this activity nevertheless turns out to be determined by the enunciative agency chosen.

The behavioural economy of present-day art

"How do you render a school class as an artwork?", asks Guattari"... He thus poses the final problem of aesthetics, that of

capitalist economy. Everything conspires to make us think that modernity is the moment when "it is not art imitating life, but life modernity has been constructed, from the late 19th century on, on its use, and its possible injection into fabric rendered rigid by the on, Georges Bataille built his work on the critique of this that "praxis moves constantly into poiesis, and vice versa". Later producing and transforming matter). Marx thought, on the contrary, transformation) and poiesis (the necessary, servile action aimed at the classical distinction between Praxis (the act of selfimitating art"... Marx is headed in the same direction, by criticising the idea of "life as artwork". Based on Oscar Wilde's formula, action- shatter human existence by calibrating it on the basis of the capitalist economy. The three orders -science, fiction and "renunciation of existence in exchange for function" which grounds of subjectivity. In it, this latter takes pride of place, the place posits the totality of existence as a precondition for the production preordained categories25. Guattari's brand of ecosophy likewise subjectivity that is forever self-enriching its relationship with the of human activities," writes Guattari, experience, in an effort involving the individual and collective reearmarked by Marx for labour, and which Bataille gives to inner categories. The production of gestures wins out over the production material. The form holds sway over the thing, and movements over objects which hitherto bounded the realm of art, they use time as a including working methods and ways of being, instead of concrete contemporary artists: by creating and staging devices of existence world59". A definition that ideally applies to the practices of formation of lost subjectivity. For "the only acceptable end purpose move, like the mannequin of his own subjectivity. He thus becomes goes as far as to come across as a world of subjectivization on the immanent objects closed in on their world of reference. The artist of material things. These days, beholders are prompted to cross the threshold of "catalyst-like time modules", rather than contemplate the terrain of special experiences and the synthetic principle of his "is the production of a

work, a development that foreshadows the entire history of modernity. In this behavioural economy, the art object acquires a kind of deceptive aura, an agent of resistance to its commercial distribution and a mimetic parasite of the same.

In a mental world where the readymade represents a particular model, as a collective production (the mass-produced object) assumed and recycled in an auto-poietic visual device, Guattari's lines of thinking help us to consider the changes currently under way in present-day art. But this, however, was not the primary aim of their author, for whom aesthetics must above all else go hand in hand with societal changes, and inflect them... The poetic function, which consists in re-forming worlds of subjectivization, possibly would not have any meaning if it, too, were not able to help us to negotiate the "ordeal of barbarity, mental implosion, and chaosmic spasm which are taking shape on the horizon, to turn them into riches and unforeseeable pleasures"...

- Chaosmosis.
- 12. Chaosmosis.
- The three ecologies.
- The three ecologies.
- 15. Deleuze/Guattari, What is philosophy, Verso, London, 1994
- The three ecologies.
- 17. Chaosmosis.
- Chaosmosis. See also: Félix Guattari, "Cracks in the Street", in Flash Art, no. 135, Summer 1987.
- Chaosmosis.
- Félix Guattari, "Refonder les pratiques sociales", in Le Monde diplomatique, "L'agonie de la culture", October 1993.
- Chaosmosis.
- 22. Marcel Duchamp, "Le processus créatif", in Duchamp du signe, Editions Flammarion, Paris.
- 23. Chaosmosis.
- 24. The three ecologies.
- 25. Chaosmosis.
- 26. What is philosophy
- 27. Chaosmosis.
- Georges Bataille, "L'Apprenti sorcier", in Denis Hollier, Le collège de sociologie, Editions Idées-Gallimard.
- 29. Chaosmosis.
- 30. Chaosmosis.

<sup>\*</sup> Chance is important, but only in relation to production. Once exhibited, the work leaves the world of contrivance, and everything in it stems from an interpretation.

Félix Guattari, Chaosmosis: An ethicoaesthetic paradigm, Indiana Press. I only refer to precise works when the sentences quoted refer to a development in the author. For example, some quotations will not be annotated, because their content refers to several passages or several books.

Chaosmosis.

Chaosmosis.

<sup>4.</sup> Chaosmosis.

Félix Guattari, The three ecologies, Athlone Press, 2001.

L'inconscient machinique. Essai de schizoanalyse, Recherches, Paris, 1979.

<sup>7.</sup> The three ecologies.

<sup>8.</sup> Marc Sherringham, Introduction à la philosophie esthétique, Editions Payot, Paris, 1993.

Roger Caillois, Cohérences aventureuses, Editions Idées-Gallimard.

<sup>10.</sup> Chaosmosis.

### GLOSSARY

### Academicism

- 1. An attitude that involves clinging to the defunct signs and forms of one's day, and rendering them aesthetic.
- Synonym: pompous (pompier).

"And why wouldn't he do something pompous, if it pays off?" (Samuel Beckett).

### Aesthetics

An idea that sets humankind apart from other animal species. In the end of the day, burying the dead, laughter, and suicide are just the corollaries of a deep-seated hunch, the hunch that life is an aesthetic, ritualised, shaped form.

#### 1

- 1. General term describing a set of objects presented as part of a narrative known as *art history*. This narrative draws up the critical genealogy and discusses the issues raised by these objects, by way of three sub-sets: *painting*, *sculpture*, *architecture*.
- 2. Nowadays, the word "art" seems to be no more than a semantic leftover of this narrative, whose more accurate definition would read as follows: Art is an activity consisting in producing relationships with the world with the help of signs, forms, actions and objects.

## Art (The end of)

understanding. present, which invariably exceeds, a priori, our capacities of figure of style: let us remain open to what is happening in the whereby "art, for us, is a thing of the past", and turn it into a can nevertheless, and not without irony, borrow Hegel's formula "The end of art" only exists in an idealistic view of history. We

designation. showing suffices to define the artist, be it a representation or a shared by all artists is that they show something. The act of entrepreneur/politician/director. The most common denominator objective results of his labour". For Buchloch, this figure was heir production structures so as to provide significant doubles. An Today's artist appears as an operator of signs, modelling Recent developments in art merely modify Buchloch's hunch. represented by Yves Klein, Lucio Fontana and Joseph Beuys. to that of the artist as "mediumic and transcendental subject", generation of the 1960s, he defined the artist as a "scholar/philosopher/craftsman", who hands society "the When Benjamin Buchloch referred to the conceptual and minimal

sub-groups. An artist's microbiography would point up the things he has achieved within his œuvre. represents a whole capable of perennially replacing these three artistic behaviour. It would be naïve to think that the history of art the history of forms, we still need to come up with a history of Beside those two established genres, the history of things and

Artist, producer of time.

invented by the individual by the fantasy of a central place where time in which they exist. They replace the versatility of time All totalitarian ideologies show a distinctive wish to control the

> and collective, a fantasy of eternity aimed first and foremost at motionlessness, and rendering the time in which it exists uniform it might be possible to acquire the overall meaning of society. standardising and monitoring patterns of behaviour. Foucault thus Totalitarianism systematically tries to set up a form of temporal forms of fascism, be they already there or lurking". rightly stressed the fact that the art of living clashed with "all

# Co-existence criterion

simply remind you, for the record, that the forms produced by the entitled to ask in front of any aesthetic production: "Does this reality or might be conveyed in it. So there is a question we are All works of art produce a model of sociability, which transposes the space it defines?" A form is more or less democratic. May I work permit me to enter into dialogue? Could I exist, and how, in art of totalitarian regimes are peremptory and closed in on complement them. Otherwise put, they do not give the viewer a chance to themselves (particularly through their stress on symmetry).

(see: Relational (aesthetics)).

exhibition venue consisted, yesterday, in exploring its spatial and in which it is on view. This consideration by the artist of the In situ art is a form of artistic activity that encompasses the space art of the 1990s, consists in an investigation of the general context architectural configuration. A second possibility, prevalent in the studies have the merit of reminding us that the artistic doing does method calls for a great deal of subtlety: although such contextual of the exhibition: its institutional structure, the socio-economic not drop out of the sky into a place unblemished by any ideology, features encompassing it, and the people involved. This latter it is nevertheless important to fit this investigation into a prospect that goes beyond the primary stage of sociology. It is not enough

to extract, mechanically, the social characteristics of the place where you exhibit (the art centre, the city, the region, the country...), to "reveal" whatever it may be. For some artists whose complicated thinking represents an architecture of meanings, no more nor less (Dan Asher, Daniel Buren, Jef Geys, Mark Dion), how many conceptual hacks are there who laboriously "associate", for their show in Montelimar, nougat production and unemployment figures? The mistake lies in thinking that the sense of an aesthetic fact lies *solely* in the context.

## Art after criticism

Once art "overtook" philosophy (Joseph Kosuth), it nowadays goes beyond critical philosophy, where conceptual art has helped to spread the viewpoint. Doubt can be cast over the stance of the "critical" artist, when this position consists in judging the world as he were excluded from it by divine grace, and played no part in it. This idealistic attitude can be contrasted with Lacanian intuition that the unconscious is its own analyst. And Marx's idea that explains that real criticism is the criticism of reality that exists through criticism itself. For there is no mental place where the artist might exclude himself from the world he represents.

## Critical materialism

The world is made up of random encounters (Lucretius, Hobbes, Marx, Althusser). Art, too, is made up of chaotic, chance meetings of signs and forms. Nowadays, it even creates spaces within which the encounter can occur. Present-day art does not present the outcome of a labour, it is the labour itself, or the labour-to-be.

### Factitiousness

Art is not the world of suspended will (Schopenhauer), or of the disappearance of contingency (Sartre), but a space emptied of the *factitious*. It in no way clashes with authenticity (an absurd value where art is concerned) but replaces coherences, even phoney

ones, with the illusory world of "truth". It is the bad lie that betrays the hack, whose at best touching sincerity inevitably ends up as a forked tongue.

#### orm orm

Structural unity imitating a world. Artistic practice involves creating a form capable of "lasting", bringing heterogeneous units together on a coherent level, in order to create a relationship to the world.

#### Gesture

Movement of the body revealing a psychological state or designed to express an idea. Gesturality means the set of requisite operations introduced by the production of artworks, from their manufacture to the production of peripheral signs (actions, events, anecdotes).

#### Image

Making a work involves the invention of a process of presentation. In this kind of process, the image is an act.

### Inhabiting

Having imagined architecture and art of the future, the artist is now proposing solutions for inhabiting them. The contemporary form of modernity is ecological, haunted by the occupancy of forms and the use of images.

#### Modern

The ideals of modernity have not vanished, they have been adapted. So "the total work of art" comes about today in its spectacular version, emptied of its teleological content. Our civilisation makes up for the hyperspecialization of social functions by the progressive unity of leisure activities. It is thus possible to predict, without too much risk attaching thereto, that the aesthetic experience of the average late 20th century

individual might roughly resemble what early 20th century avant-gardes imagined. Between the interactive video disk, the CD-ROM, ever more multi-media-oriented games consoles, and the extreme sophistication of mass recreational venues, discotheques and theme parks, we are heading towards the condensation of leisure in unifying forms. Towards a compact art? Once CD-ROM and CD-I drives are available, which have enough autonomy, books, exhibitions and films will be in competition with a form of expression that is at once more comprehensive and more thought-restricting, circulating writing, imagery and sound in new forms.

## Operational realism

Presentation of the functional sphere in an aesthetic arrangement. The work proposes a functional model and not a maquette. In other words, the concept of dimension does not come into it, just as in the digital image, whose proportions may vary depending on the size of the screen, which, unlike the frame, does not enclose works within a predetermined format, but rather renders virtuality material in x dimensions.

### Ready-made

Artistic figure contemporary with the invention of film. The artist takes his camera-subjectivity into the real, defining himself as a cameraman; the museum plays the part of the film, he records. For the first time, with Duchamp, art no longer consists in translating the real with the help of signs, but in presenting this same real as it is (Duchamp, the Lumière brothers...)

# Relational (aesthetics)

Aesthetic theory consisting in judging artworks on the basis of the inter-human relations which they represent, produce or prompt. (See: co-existence criterion).

### Relational (art)

A set of artistic practices which take as their theoretical and practical point of departure the whole of human relations and their social context, rather than an independent and private space.

### Semionaut

The contemporary artist is a *semionaut*, he invents trajectories between signs.

## Society of extras

occupation of social life", capital having reached "such a degree activity dictated to him by market forces. So television shifted from a passive and purely repetitive status to the minimum the further stage of spectacular development: the individual has of accumulation" that it was turned into imagery. Today, we are in the historical moment when merchandise achieved "the total of "Infamous Man", whom Michel Foucault defined as the programmeless models and politicians; thus everyone sees spectacular hierarchy encourages "empty monads", i.e. consumption is shrinking in favour of video games; thus the The society of the spectacle has been defined by Guy Debord as to frolic about in the free and open spaces that it has staked out. be historically explained: since the surrender of the Soviet bloc, spectacle, having been regarded as its consumers. This switch can media spotlights. Here we are summoned to turn into extras of the anonymous and "ordinary" individual suddenly put in the glare of TV game, street poll or news item as go-between. This is the reign themselves summoned to be famous for fifteen minutes, using a stand-in for freedom, signer and sealer of the public place society of extras where the individual develops as a part-time So, after the consumer society, we can see the dawning of the hold of the social arena, so it can permit itself to stir individuals there are no obstacles on capitalism's path to empire. It has a total

#### Style

The movement of a work, its trajectory. "The style of a thought is its movement" (Gilles Deleuze and Félix Guattari).

#### Trailer

Having been an event per se (classical painting), then the graphic recording of an event (the work of Jackson Pollock, with photographic documents describing a performance or an action), today's work of art often assumes the role of a trailer for a forthcoming event, or an event that is put off forever.

#### INDEX

Bourdieu (Pierre) 22, 26, 40 Boltanski (Christian) 29, 64 Boetti (Alighiero) 68 Blair (Dike) 39 Bijl (Guillaume) 37 Beuys (Joseph) 40, 70, 93, 102, 108 Beecroft (Vanessa) 8, 39, 48, 76 Bataille (Georges) 44, 81, 103, 105 Basilico (Stefano) 33 Bakhtine (Mikhail) 99 Armaly (Fareed) 34 Althusser (Louis) 13, 15, 18, 24, 66, 90, 110 Alexander the Great 27 Alberti 27 Adorno 76 Bond (Henry) 36, 37, 46, 70, 74 Buchloch (Benjamin) 108 Benjamin (Walter) 60, 74, 78 Ben 38 Barry (Robert) 29 Balthus 86 ARC 36, 37 Acconci (Vito) 77 Duyckaerts (Eric) 32 Durand (Gilbert) 45 Duchamp (Marcel) 19, 25, 26, 29, 41, 44, 92, 93, 99, 105, 112 Donegan (Cheryl) 75 Donatello 63 Dion (Mark) 35, 72, 110 Dimitrijevic (Braco) 30 Deller (Jeremy) 30 Devautour 27 Deleuze (Gilles) 13, 20, 74, 87, 95, 96, 100, 105, 114 Delacroix (Eugène) 19, 26 Degas (Edgar) 67 Debord (Guy) 9, 19, 85, 113 Dante (Joe) 69 Daney (Serge) 21, 23, 24, 69, 75, 77, 78 Damisch (Hubert) 18, 24, 48 Dada 12 Clert Gallery (Iris) 37 Clegg & Guttman 34, 35 De Duve (Thierry) 24 Danto (Arthur) 63 Cézanne (Paul) 20 Certeau (Michel de) 14, 24 Buren (Daniel) 20, 110 Bulloch (Angela) 31, 58, 75 Broodthaers (Marcel) 72, 73, 78, 102 Cattelan (Maurizio) 8, 14, 33, 40 Castoriadis (Cornélius) 54, 64 Calle (Sophie) 30 Caillois (Roger) 93, 104 Burden (Chris) 19 Brinch (Jes) 8 Brecht (George) 30, 70

Epicurus 19 Fried (Michael) 59, 64 Foucault (Michel) 50, 109, 113 Fluxus 25, 46, 102 Filliou (Robert) 30, 102 Fend (Peter) 35, 37 Fairhurst (Angus) 32 El Greco 63 Godard (Jean-Luc) 26 Gillick (Liam) 30, 32, 36, 37, 47, 48, 51 General Idea 61 Fraser (Andrea) 32 Frankfurt school 31 Gonzalez-Foerster (Dominique) 30, 33, 34, 36, 48, 51, 52, 72 Gombrowicz (Witold) 21, 22 Gonzalez-Torres (Felix) 38, 39, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, Hickey (Dave) 45, 62, 63, 64 Hempel (Lothar) 76 Guattari (Félix) 10, 20, 31, 40, 80, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, Greenberg (Clement) 67, 101 Graham (Dan) 20, 46, 78 Gordon (Douglas) 32, 48, 51, 70, 73 Haaning (Jens) 17, 77 Holzer (Jenny) 43 Höller (Carsten) 8, 36, 40, 58, 70, 73, 76, 82 Hirakawa (Noritoshi) 8, 34 Hill (Christine) 8, 36 Hybert (Fabrice) 37, 72, 76 Huyghe (Pierre) 8, 30, 32, 40, 58, 70, 71, 74, 77 Huber Gallery (Pierre) 34 Hirst (Damien) 39, 40 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 114 56, 58, 59, 63, 72

Kelley (Mike) 44, 77 Kawara (On) 30 Kant 92 Joseph (Pierre) 30, 38, 39, 72, 73, 76 Joisten (Bernard) 72, 73 Johns (Jasper) 46

Kostabi (Mark) 35 Koons (Jeff) 43, 48

Kosuth (Joseph) 52, 110

Kudo (Tetsumi ) 44

Lacan 90

Lambert (Alix) 34

Land (Peter) 46, 76

Landers (Sean) 75

Larner (Liz) 38

Le Corbusier 35

Lecoq (Yves) 34

Leonardo da Vinci 27

Lévi-Strauss 90, 91

Lévinas (Emmanuel) 23, 24

LeWitt (Sol) 52

Lucretius 19, 110

Lyotard (Jean-François) 13, 24

MacCarthy (Paul) 77

Manetas (Miltos) 47, 74

Marx (Karl) 16, 42, 81, 90, 103

Matta-Clark (Gordon) 20, 30, 44, 46

Maffesoli (Michel) 15, 24, 64 Latham (John) 35 La Borde 86 Kraftwerk 31 Klein (Yves) 37, 48, 108 Kinmont (Ben) 31, 58 Kilimnik (Karen) 30

Medeiros (Maria de) 34 McCaslin (Matthew) 38 Schipper (Esther) 33, 39 Scher (Julia) 37 Rauschenberg (Robert) 46, 93 Ramo Nash Club 27, 73 Parreno (Philippe) 7, 30, 32, 34, 38, 51, 54, 70, 72, 73, 74, Panamarenko 35 Orozco (Gabriel) 17, 58 Nietzsche 69, 88, 97, 99 Monet (Claude) 67 Mondrian (Piet) 12 Maubrie (Gabrielle) 33 Samore (Sam) 33 Ruppersberg (Allen) 73 Rousseau (Jean-Jacques) 15 Rothko (Mark) 79 Rosen Gallery (Andrea) 38 Rhoades(Jason) 72 Redon (Odilon) 28 Proudhon 12 Prince (Richard) 43 Premiata Ditta 34, 35, 75 Popper 96 Pollock (Jackson) 41, 48, 79, 114 Plenge Jacobsen (Henrik) 8 Peterman (Dan) 35 Perrotin (Emmanuel) 33 Perrin (Philippe) 38 Pardo (Jorge) 47, 51, 52 New Realists 46 Napoleon 27 Schopenhauer 97, 110 76, 78, 82

Serra (Richard) 52 Servaas Inc 35 Schwitters (Kurt) 19

Seurat (Georges) 28

Situationist International 12, 19

Situationists 12, 95

Sonnabend (Ileana) 33 Smithson (Robert) 44, 46

Spoerri (Daniel) 30

Surrealism 12, 95 Starr (Georgina) 31

Thomas (Philippe) 36 Thek (Paul) 44

Tiravanija (Rirkrit) 25, 30, 32, 48, 51, 54, 70, 75, 82, 83

Tobier (Lincoln) 32, 58

Todorov (Tzvetan) 23, 24

Tolstoy 27

Troncy (Eric) 80

Vaisman (Meyer) 40

Van de Steeg (Niek) 35, 37

Vernoux (Marion) 38

Walter (Franz Erhard) 70

Warhol (Andy) 20, 42, 74, 93

Wearing (Gillian) 46, 75

Willats (Stephen) 30

Zobernig (Heimo) 32 Zittel (Andrea) 58

### CONTENTS

## Foreword

| Space-time factors in 1990s' art                     | 46 |
|------------------------------------------------------|----|
| The subject of the artwork                           | 43 |
| Artworks and exchanges                               | 41 |
| Space-time exchange factors                          | 41 |
| How to occupy a gallery                              | 37 |
| Professional relations: clienteles                   | 35 |
| Collaborations and contracts                         | 33 |
| Convivialities and encounters                        | 30 |
| Connections and meetings                             | 29 |
| Typology                                             | 29 |
| Participation and Transitivity                       | 25 |
| Art of the 1990s                                     | 25 |
| Form and others' gaze                                | 21 |
| Relational aesthetics and random materialism         | 18 |
| Artwork as social interstice                         | 14 |
| Contemporary artistic practice and its cultural plan | Ξ  |
| Kelational Form                                      | Ξ  |

| 49 | Joint presence and availability:                             |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------|
|    | The theoretical legacy of Felix Gonzalez-Torres              |
| 50 | Homosexuality as a paradigm of cohabitation                  |
| 53 | Contemporary forms of the monument                           |
| 56 | The criterion of co-existence (works and individuals)        |
| 58 | The aura of artworks has shifted towards their public        |
| 62 | Beauty as a solution?                                        |
| 65 | Screen relations                                             |
| 65 | Today's art and its technological models                     |
| 66 | Art and goods                                                |
| 66 | The law of relocation                                        |
| 68 | Technology as an ideological model                           |
|    | (from trace to programme)                                    |
| 71 | The camera and the exhibition                                |
| 71 | The exhibition-set                                           |
| 74 | Extras                                                       |
| 75 | Post VCR art                                                 |
| 75 | Rewind/play/fast forward                                     |
| 77 | Towards a democratisation of viewpoints?                     |
| 79 | Towards a policy of forms                                    |
| 79 | Cohabitations                                                |
|    | Notes on some possible extensions of a relational aesthetics |
| 79 | Visual systems                                               |
| 80 | The image is a moment                                        |
| 80 | What artists show                                            |
| 80 | The boundaries of individual subjectivity                    |
| 81 | The engineering of intersubjectivity                         |
| 81 | An art with no effect?                                       |
| 83 | The political development of forms                           |
| 84 | Rehabilitating experimentation                               |
| 84 | Relational aesthetics and constructed situations             |

| 86  | The aesthetic paradigm                     |
|-----|--------------------------------------------|
|     | (Félix Guattari and art)                   |
| 88  | Subjectivity pursued and produced          |
| 88  | De-naturalising subjectivity               |
| 89  | Status and operation of subjectivity       |
| 92  | Subjectivization units                     |
| 95  | The aesthetic paradigm                     |
| 95  | The critique of scientistic paradigm       |
| 97  | Ritournelle, symptom and work              |
| 99  | The work of art as partial object          |
| 101 | For an artistic-ecosophical practice       |
| 102 | The behavioural economy of present-day art |
|     |                                            |

107 GLOSSARY

115 INDEX